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## UROPEAN CHAMPIONS



Frederic Volcker, Jerome Rombaut, Thomas Bessis, Jean-Christophe Quantin, Francois Combescure, Lionel Sebbane, Cedric Lorenzini

WOMEN TEAMS: ENGLAND
Heather Dhondy, Nevena Senior, Sally Brock, Nicola Smith, Fiona Brown, Catherine Draper,-David Burn (coach),
Derek Patterson (npc)


Noah Tumianker Meir Berkman, Shalom Zeligman,
Avi Kalish, Adrian Schwartz, Etan Orenstein (npc),
Leonid Podgur

The worldwide audience following the championships were enthralled by the twists and turns that took place in all three contests.
While France had secured the Open title with a round to spare, the other two titles were determined by the very last board, when a failing grand slam gave England the Women's title and Israel victory in the Seniors.


2nd OPEN TEAMS: SWEDEN
Ola Rimstedt, Johan Upmark, Johan Sylvan, Frederic Wrang, Jan Lagerman (npc), Mikael Rimstedt, Fredrik Nystrom


Vanessa Reess, Nathalie Frey, Sylvie Willard, Laurent Thuillez (npc),' Benedicte Cronier, Catherine D'Ovidio, Joanna Zochowska


2nd SENIOR TEAMS: SWEDEN
Mats Axdorph, Bengt-Erik Efraimsson, Sven-Ake Bjerregard, Anders Morath, Carina Wademark (coach), Per Gunnar Eliasson, Johnny Ostberg, Tommy Gullberg (npc)


3rd OPEN TEAMS: NETHERLANDS
Anton Maas (npc), Ton Bakkeren (coach), Bauke Muller, Bart Nab, Simon De Wijs, Bob Drijver, Bas Drijuer, Sjoert Brink


3rd WOMEN TEAMS: POLAND
Katarzyna Dufrat, Justyna Zmuda, Danuta Kazmucha, Anna Sarniak, Grazyna Brewiak, Cathy Baldysz,
Miroslaw Cichocki (npc)


3rd SENIOR TEAMS: POLAND
Jerzy Russyan, Krzysztof Lasocki, Wlodzimierz Wala (npc), Victor Markowicz, Jacek Romanski, Julian Klukowski, Apolinary Kowalski
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PRESIDENT'S SPEECH
by Yves Aubry, EBL President

Mr Gianarrigo Rona, EBL Presidents Emeritus,
Mr Géza Homonnay, President of the Hungarian Bridge Federation, Dear Bridge Friends,
We are at the end of the $53{ }^{\text {rd }}$ European Bridge Team Championships after 10 days of hard competition in the three categories Open, Women and Seniors.

A great thank you to the Hungarian people who have welcomed us so kindly in Budapest.
If this championship was so successful, it is of course thanks to the Hungarian Bridge Federation and to its President, Géza Homonnay. Thank you Géza for your great job.
Of course, the Organising Committee led by Zsolt Szetei and the Championship Committee led by Jan Kamras and Josef Harsanyi worked hard for you all with the help of all workers and volunteers both from EBL and Hungarian staff.
But the success of a championship is determined by the players.
I would like to thank you all for your behaviour, your fair-play, your sporting spirit and the atmosphere you have created.
These contributed to the success of the championship but also to the promotion of our sport inside of Olympic values and for a more peaceful world.

In addition to the Host Federation's team, this European championship qualifies the first six teams in Open, Women and Seniors Series for the Bermuda Bowl, the Venice Cup and the D'Orsi Trophy, which will be held in Lyon, France, from $12^{\text {th }}$ to $26^{\text {th }}$ August 2017.
All my good wishes to the teams that have qualified.
The first ten NBO's ranked in the Open Series qualified also a team from their country to participate in the Champions' Cup to be held in Zagreb from 10 to $12^{\text {th }}$ November 2016.
The EBL organised these $53^{\text {rd }}$ European Team Championships in Budapest. The venue for the next edition will be Ostend in June 2018.
Thanks to all, players, officers, journalists, operators, volunteers and guests. I know you will have great memories of Budapest.
Have a safe return home and enjoy your evening.
I officially declare the 53rd European Team Championships closed.
Yues Aubry
EBL President


The
1st Yeh
Online Bridge
World Cup
will take place
31 October - 2 November 2016
under the auspices of the World Bridge Federation.
This will be the first live online Bridge Show, featuring the greatest champions and biggest personalities associated with the game and a top class team of commentators who will analyze the deals for the watching online spectators.

Team EUROPE-LAVAZZA (based in Turin at the headquarters of Lavazza) will include the women who currently hold the top two positions in the world rankings, Sylvie Willard and Bénédicte Cronier, alongside Giorgio Duboin, Augustin Madala, and another pair to be announced.

Team USA-BBO team (based in Seattle) will be Bob Hamman (the all time number 1 in the world rankings) playing with Jill Meyers (number 2 on the all time women's list) Fred Gitelman \& Sherri Winestock and Bill Gates and Sharon Osberg.

Team CCBA (based in Beijing) will comprise Mr Zeng Peiyan, former vice premier for economy \& General secretary of the Asian Economic Forum, Mr Guo Jinlong, former Mayor of Beijing plus Chinese World Champions.

Team CHEN YEH (also based in Beijing) will include Mr Yeh who will be joined by World Champions.
At each venue, remotely controlled webcams will monitor each player, steaming live images across the Internet. Every match will be broadcast live using BBO \& Ourgame.

The best bridge journalists from around the world will cover the event with voice and written commentaries and there will be instant Daily Bulletins online. Social media will be utilised to the fullest extent with dedicated accounts on Facebook, Twitter and Instagram.

The first match will start at 09.00 (US PDT time) 17.00 (European CEST) on 31 October with Bill Gates playing for the USA against Lavazza; the schedule is as follows:

Daily Time Schedule
Turin matches: $\quad 10.00,17.00$ or 21.00 (European CEST)
Seattle matches:
09.00, 13.00, 19.00 or 22.00 (US PDT time)

Beijing matches: $\quad 10.00,13.00,17 / 18.00$ or $21 / 22.00$ (China time)
Starting Monday 31 October 2016
China v Chinese Taipei RR1 15.00 RR2 21/22.00 (Beijing \& Taipei time)
USA v Europe
RR1 09.00 RR2 13.00 (Seattle time)


More information will be available shortly

## ADVENTURES WITH OTTLIK

## SOLUTION то YESTERDAY'S PROBLEM



Play Instructions: 3NT by East. South to lead $\diamond 5$. East to take the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and return the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$.
North to play to defeat 3NT.

The full deal:

North should beat the contract by taking the king of hearts and returning a low club into dummy's club tenace.

- J 10974
$\checkmark$ AQ7 2
$\diamond K$
\& K 93


This defence removes declarer's second entry prematurely. The jack of hearts will be ducked, and the suit is as good as dead. A throw-in against North doesn't help.
If North ducks the first two hearts declarer can still succeed (at double dummy) by taking an early club finesse. If North wins it and doesn't cash hearts then South can be stripped of his club exits and endplayed in diamonds. If North ducks the first club he is endplayed in spades to give up two clubs.

## THANK YOU!

On behalf of IBPA journalists and many players I would like to thank the Hungarian Bridge Federation and the EBL for the excellent facilities in the Press Room.
Although the room is very spacious, it was sometimes difficult to meet the demand. Apparently occasion creates demand.
We were very happy!
Jan Swaan, Press Room manager

## THANK YOU!

My thanks to Philippe Cronier and P.O. Sundelin for their great help on Vugraph. It was much appreciated.


## CHAMPIONSHIP DIARY

We reported a great deal from Round 28, where on Board 28, a number of players found the lead of the - 3 from Q 3 against $4 \boldsymbol{4}$, which caused the declarer to go wrong with a trump suit of $\mathbf{~ J} 654$ opposite AAK987. When this happened in the match between Switzerland and Belarus it was Switzerland's Fernando Piedra who led the three of spades. At the end of the deal his opponent sitting East Korzun Aleksandr, smiled and gave him a small applause. What a sportsman!
Ray Lee, past President of the Canadian Bridge Federation and the man behind Master Point Press was watching the final round of the US Open golf last Sunday when he was struck by a number of bridgerelated thoughts.

If you didn't watch the golf, controversy swirled around the event when the leader (and eventual winner) Dustin Johnson was involved in an incident on the fifth hole which could have led to his being assessed a 1 -stroke penalty. The rules committee (or whoever it was that had to make the decision) announced that they would make a ruling only after the round was complete. All the golfers were informed of this, and so for the next three hours or so everyone knew that Johnson's score could potentially increase by one stroke. The expert commentators were apoplectic. Leaving aside the merits of the decision (i.e. whether or not they thought a penalty was appropriate), they rhetorically asked 'In what other sport could there be a ruling after the event is over which changes the result?'.
Well, we can think of one!
One aspect of the affair discussed by the commentators was the 'unfairness' of the players not knowing the score, and therefore not being able to adjust their strategy to the requirements of the moment. Again, they asked, 'In what other sport...?', and again we have an answer. Which led Ray to wonder why, given today's technology, we don't allow the players to know the score, at least in team matches? Surely the only reason we don't is that historically it was impractical, but that's no longer the case.

Taking a rare break from his duties, Ron Tacchi went out for lunch at a nearby Chinese Restaurant. When he returned, I asked him if he had had a glass or two of beer with his lunch, to which he replied in the affirmative. 'Large or small' I enquired.

His laughter was all that was required to provide the answer.

One of the journalists submitted an article that contained the following instruction:
'Board 6 - insert Board 8 here'.

Following the decision of the United Kingdom to leave the European Union, I was surprised that no one suggested that English should no longer be the approved language.
The result of the referendum reminded me of a remark made by the British Foreign Secretary Sir Edward Grey on the eve of Britain's entry into the First World War.
Grey's memoirs Twenty-Five Years 1892-1916 mention the remark as taking place on 3 August 1914:

A friend came to see me on one of the evenings of the last week - he thinks it was on Monday, August 3rd. We were standing at a window of my room in the Foreign Office. It was getting dusk, and the lamps were being lit in the space below on which we were looking. My friend recalls that I remarked on this with the words:
'The lamps are going out all over Europe, we shall not see them lit again in our life-time'.

As another great tournament closes it is time to thank the journalists who joined together to bring you the best of the action. Jos Jacobs was on the front line and he was ably supported by the troops working overseas - John Carruthers from Canada, David Bird from England, Ram Soffer from Israel and Ron Tacchi from France. (Ron was here in Budapest - apparently he got lost on the French motorway system en route to Belgium.)
Barry Rigal made sure the best deals from the VuGraph did not go unreported and in his brief sojurn, Brian Senior covered the Pairs Championship.
Francesca Canali was our Lay-out Editor \& Photographer. She has revolutionised the appearance of the Bulletins to such a degree that, as Sir Andrew Lloyd Webber would have written, 'She makes our words take flight'.

Until the next time.


Mark Horton

## Open Teams, Round 20

I was looking forward to my first look at the frontrunning France team. North-South faced a bidding test on this early board:

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { A A Q } 9 \\
\diamond & \text { J } 42 \\
\diamond & 109865 \\
\& & \text { J } 8
\end{array}
$$

| - K 83 | N | ค J 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 1098$ | W E | $\bigcirc 653$ |
| $\diamond 74$ | $W^{\text {c }}$ | $\diamond 32$ |
| -6 P 9642 | S | \& A 10753 |
|  | a 10654 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 7 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKQ J |  |
|  | \& K |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorenzini | Magnusson | Quantin | Th.Jonsson |
| - | - | - | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 \%$ | Pass | 3NT |



The emphasis was on defence on this deal:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorenzini | Magnusson | Quantin | Th.Jonsson |
| - | - | - | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{1}$ | Pass |
| 2\& | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Thorlakur Jonsson led the $\varsigma \mathrm{A}$ and his partner signalled with the $\triangle 2$. Their commendably informative convention card states that they play attitude signals on an ace lead, except when (as here) dummy contains three low cards. In this situation they switch to count signals. The method is designed to detect a doubleton queen with declarer when the lead is from A-K-x-$x-x$. Here, though, South had opened $1 \%$ and would presumably hold fewer than five hearts.
When South continued with the $๑ \mathrm{~K}$, North played the $\bigcirc 7$. Another heart was played and a grateful Quantin claimed nine tricks. In the Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ingimarsson | Rombaut | Eiriksson | Combescure |
| - | - | - | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | $1 ヵ$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Combescure made the same opening lead of the $\checkmark$ A, partner playing the $\triangle 2$. When he continued with the $\checkmark \mathrm{A}$, North defended well by dropping the $\backsim \mathrm{J}$ to give partner a big hint that he did not hold the $\triangle \mathrm{Q}$. South now had more of a chance than his counterpart in the Open Room to find a diamond switch. No, he persevered with a third heart and the game was made for a push.

This was a disappointing bidding board for Iceland:
Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.
A K 87
© A 76
$\diamond$ AJ 4
\& K 732

| ค 9532 |  | N | 4 | A Q J 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark 109542$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | Q J |
| $\diamond 832$ |  |  | $\diamond$ | Q 65 |
| \& 5 |  | S | 9 | 104 |
|  | 4 | - |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | K 83 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | K 1097 |  |  |
|  | 4 | A Q J 98 |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorenzini | Magnusson | Quantin | Th.Jonsson |
| - | - | - | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | 2 | $3 \boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| 3 | 3NT | All Pass |  |

North-South play transfers opposite $1 \%$. The 14 response denied four cards in either major, but their card does not reveal its exact meaning as far as the minors are concerned. Magnusson could expect partner to be short in spades after the opponents' bidding. With the known club fit, 3NT seems a pessimistic assessment. In $6 \%$ declarer can afford to misguess the diamonds, since that suit will provide a heart discard from the North hand.
I did not expect the French North-South to be merciful. Let's see:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ingimarsson | Rombaut | Eiriksson | Combescure |
| - | - | - | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 ヵ$ | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 \Omega$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $6 \mathbf{4}$ | All Pass |  |

South's 4 showed a void spade and 6\% was easily reached. Combescure ruffed the spade lead, drew trumps and guessed diamonds correctly. That was +940 and a swing of 10 IMPs.


Another bidding board followed swiftly:
Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
ค A 853
© J 43
$\diamond 1085$
\& A Q 10

| - 42 | N | A K96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 985$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 1062 |
| $\diamond$ Q J 93 |  | $\diamond$ A 762 |
| \& K 743 | S | \& 86 |
|  | - Q J 107 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 7 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 4 |  |
|  | \& J 952 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorenzini | Magnusson | Quantin | Th.Jonsson |
| - | - | - | $1 \mathbf{1}$ |
| Pass | $1 \Omega^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

North's 10 showed $4+$ spades and the $2 \uparrow$ rebid suggested a 12-14 notrump hand with 4-card spade support. What should North say next? He held a 9-loser hand and could expect partner's weak notrump to contain seven losers. I can see that it was tempting to pass and that is what he did. Sadly for him, his partner held a super maximum with great trumps and the game was a good one. Even if the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ was offside, South's clubs would provide a heart discard. Ten tricks were duly made. Meanwhile, in the Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ingimarsson | Rombaut | Eiriksson | Combescure |
| - | - | - | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |

All Pass

The raise to $2 \boldsymbol{d}$ all but promises four-card trump support in France. Rombaut made a game-try, suggesting notrumps on his 4-3-3-3 shape, and the par contract was reached for another 10-IMP swing.

And so to the final board of the match:

Board 32. Dealer West. E/W Vul.
A 875
© K 9873
$\diamond 5$
\& A432

| A Q 109 |  | N | 9 | A 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 1042$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | Q J |
| $\diamond$ K 42 |  | W E | $\diamond$ | Q J 983 |
| \& Q J 107 |  | S | \& | 986 |
|  | 4 | KJ 63 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | A 65 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | A 1076 |  |  |
|  | 4 | K 5 |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorenzini | Magnusson | Quantin | Th.Jonsson |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 1 NT |
| Pass | $2 \Omega^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \circlearrowleft$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Magnusson's $2 \triangle$ response was alerted because it was not a transfer! It was a non-forcing mild game-try. Jonsson raised to game and his partner now had to find ten tricks in a contract that was unlikely to be bid at the other table.
Magnusson won the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ with dummy's ace and played a low club to the ace. A spade to the jack lost to the queen and he ruffed the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ return. When he led another spade, East rose with the ace and the $\$ 9$ fell from West. Quantin now put declarer to the test with a third round of spades.
If declarer goes with Restricted Choice, playing the $\$ 6$ and hoping that West will ruff from three trumps, he will lose three spade tricks and go one down. Magnusson eventually played the $\boldsymbol{\Delta K}$ and was rewarded by the sight of the 10 from West. It was then a simple matter to play the ace and king of trumps and claim the contract.
At the other table, France predictably played in just $2 \circlearrowleft$, scoring +140 for 7 IMPs away. The result was a 34 IMPs to 29 win for France (11.48 VPs to 8.52).


## WALES vS MONACO

by Ram Soffer

## Open Teams, Round 27

The new-look Monaco team has been staging a comeback after a poor start. On Thursday morning they were ranked $4^{\text {th }}$, almost 28 VP below tournament leader France. Despite the fact that they had to meet top teams such as Germany and Sweden, Monaco finished the day with 4 wins and went up to $2^{\text {nd }}$ place, 0.05 VPs below France.

The swing which started it all was purely a matter of opener's hand evaluation at the second round of bidding.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


Bridge players learn at an early stage to support responder's major to the 2-level with 12-14 HCP and to the 3 -level with $15-17$, but high level bridge is not
an exercise of counting points!
With four queens and three jacks, several of them unprotected, it goes without saying that East must downgrade. Helness bid 2 2 and later showed a maximum. His partner's $5 \triangle$ asked for the trump queen and side kings, and the 64 response denied any side kings. Helgemo placed the contract correctly - it is anti-percentage to bid grand slams depending on a $50 \%$ finesse, and Lady Luck was kind to him the finesse didn't work.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jourdain | Martens | Jones | Zimmermann |
|  |  | 10 | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| 5 $\diamond$ | Pass | 64 | Pass |
| 74 | All Pass |  |  |

Jourdain also knew his partner had no side king, but East had bid 34, so in order to justify that bid he should have a singleton somewhere and HxQJxxx so the grand was very favorite to make.
Many partnerships use a singleton ask after a double raise of responder's major - it would have done no harm to use such a gadget here before asking for keycards. It all depended on a finesse and Monaco gained 14 IMPs. Had the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ been onside, it would have been only 10 IMP to Wales (That's why the grand slam is anti-percentage).

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | 4 Q J 106 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 2 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 95 |  |
|  | \& A J 2 |  |
| A AK952 | N | - 84 |
| $\bigcirc 98$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 1043 |
| $\diamond$ K 106 | W E | $\diamond 7432$ |
| \& 1094 | S | \& Q 65 |
|  | 4 73 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ765 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 8 |  |
|  | \& K 873 |  |

I suppose the normal bidding when playing four-card majors and weak 1 NT is $1 \mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{NT}$ by $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$. The final contract of 3NT is laydown and doesn't require much comment. Ratcliff took nine tricks - Wales +400 .

| West <br> Jourdain | North <br> Martens | East <br> Jones | South <br> Zimmermann <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \Omega$ |
| $1 ヵ$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |

An innocuous-looking 19 overcall by West proved to be very expensive. Krzysztof Martens looked at the vulnerability and made the interesting decision to pass 14. His partner co-operated with a balancing double, and the Welsh pair had no way to recover.
The most lethal lead was $\vee \mathrm{K}$, but Martens chose the sensible Q . Continuing trumps would have cut declarer's losses, but Jourdain played hearts himself. After two rounds of hearts, Zimmermann led $\diamond J$ and got his ruff. He continued correctly with $\vee A$. Martens overruffed 9 with $\$ 10$ and continued $\boldsymbol{J}$, promoting his $\mathbf{6}$ into a trick. Declarer won the $\mathbf{K}$ and continued with a club. Martens took $\& A$, cashed \$6 and forced a ruff with the $\diamond 9$, squeezing dummy in the process (in hearts and clubs).
Eventually declarer could score only three tricks: -AK and a ruff. +1100 gave Monaco an early 26:0 lead.


They didn't stop there, but went on to extract the full 20 VPs available from this match:

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
A AKQ986
$\checkmark 109$
$\diamond \mathrm{J}$
\& Q 986


After both Easts bid diamonds, should West raise? Helgemo's $4 \diamond$ call made the difference by suggesting the possibility of a save, while Jourdain deemed this 3-HCP hand unworthy of supporting partner.
Martens duly made an overtrick in 44, while Helness was somewhat lucky that the defence didn't play two early rounds of clubs against $5 \diamond$ doubled. Helness played a heart to the Q, ducked. Later he cashed $\circlearrowleft \mathrm{A}$ and after North was forced to win the third round of clubs he had to give him a ruff and discard which saved the third undertrick. Minus 300 was worth 8 more IMPs to Monaco, who were on a roll, exploiting every error by their opponents.


| go то Page: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  | 19 | 20 | 21 |  | 22 |  | ROSTERS | RESULTS |

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- 10
© Q 983
$\diamond$ Q J 10
\& K 10654


| West <br> Helgemo | North <br> Ratcliff | East <br> Helness | South <br> Pottage <br> $1 \varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 ゅ$ | $4 \circlearrowleft$ | $4 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| Pass | $5 \circlearrowleft$ | Dble | All Pass |

Some pairs play that bidding a vulnerable game creates a forcing pass situation, but this a not logical when the game bid (4У) was made under pressure. Apparently neither South nor North have anything to say over 44. Letting the opponents play $4 \uparrow$ and leading $\diamond Q$ (top of sequence) would have sufficed for a plus score, while in $5 \bigcirc$ doubled East/West had three top tricks and one slow but sure trick in clubs: Wales -500 .

| West <br> Jourdain | North <br> Martens | East <br> Jones | South <br> Zimmermann <br> $1 \Omega$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |  |

At the other table the bidding was much simpler:


West didn't think that his 4-HCP hand merited a preempt (or a balancing call) and East had nothing to do at the three-level with his balanced 15 -count. Result: Monaco +140 and another 12 IMPs.

Wales emerged on the scoreboard after an unfortunate decision by Zimmermann:

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Ratcliff | Helness | Pottage |
| $2 \diamond$ | $2 \triangleleft$ | $3 \diamond$ | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | $5 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Not so many European pairs play natural weak twos in diamonds, but in this match it was used at both tables. In this case the mild preempt hardly disturbed the opponents' bidding. After North's overcall South just asked for keycards and bid the slam which made easily thanks to North's stiff diamond: Wales +980.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jourdain | Martens | Jones | Zimmemann |
| $2 \diamond$ | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | $5 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $6 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

At this table East didn't bother to support his partner, so the Monaco pair could exchange more information. Martens showed his side suit over $3 \diamond$ (others may play $4 \%$ as a cuebid). Anyway Zimmermann was too concerned about a lead through his $\diamond \mathrm{K}$. He bid 6 NT in an effort to right-side the contract, but the partnership didn't have sufficient high-card power for this contract and it had to go one down even after a helpful spade lead. Monaco -50 and 14 IMPs to Wales (which turned out to be their total for the whole match).

Thereafter it was＂back to normal＂and after three successive push boards the match finished just as it started－one－sided with Monaco in total control．

Board 12．Dealer West．N／S Vul．
－K 9
$\bigcirc$ AQ5 3
$\diamond$ AKQ 1076
\＆ 4


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | Ratcliff | Helness | Pottage |
| 14 | Dbl | Pass | 20 |
| 2 | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| 3 | All Pass |  |  |

West and North both held powerful hands and despite the favourable vulnerability Helgemo＂walked the dog＂，bidding his way gradually to the three－level （after his partner＇s initial pass，game chances were slim）．
Ratcliff gave up，even though it didn＇t require much imagination to see eight tricks in NT after a spade lead （while South should stop clubs）．

In the play Helgemo ruffed the second diamond， drew trumps and established a trick with dummy＇s $\backsim J$ so he didn＇t need to guess clubs：Monaco +140 ．

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jourdain | Martens | Jones | Zimmermann |
| 1 | Dbl | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{6}$ |
| 3 | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Jourdain accelerated matters with a（normal）jump to 3 which left Martens too little room to consider anything else but 3NT（in my opinion Ratcliff should have bid 3NT as well over 3 $\mathbf{~}$ ）．
Luck favoured the brave．Martens found the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ in dummy，enabling him to take the heart finesse for his $9^{\text {th }}$ trick，and the club distribution was such that even a club lead would have done him no damage．Another +600 for Monaco，contributing 12 more IMPs．

Board 14．Dealer East．None Vul．


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Ratcliff | Helness <br> Pottage |  |
|  |  | $2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Pass |
| 4 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

Some pairs would require more for a positive response to a $2 \%$ opening，but Helgemo bid 24 anyway and then showed an absolute minimum by failing to cuebid over the encouraging $3 \boldsymbol{1}$ ．Helness got the message and they stopped in $4 \boldsymbol{\sim}$－good for them as 10 tricks were the limit．

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jourdain | Martens | Jones <br> Jimmermann |  |
| 2NT | Pass |  |  |
| 3\％ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

The Welsh methods left something to be desired． East seems to be too strong for 2NT，and as they played simple Stayman it was not possible to locate the 5－3 spade fit．
Had Zimmermann led the $\diamond$ J，dummy would have been unreachable and the contract would have been doomed as long as South can find the heart switch after winning a diamond trick．
However，the 8 was led．Had Jones tried the © J ，he would have made 11 easy tricks．But in a match where everything has gone wrong for your team，further mistakes are likely．For some reason he decided to take his AK and then give up a spade trick．Zimmermann took a long think．He was aided by Martens＇$\diamond 8$ discard，and eventually found the winning play of $\triangle \mathrm{A}$ followed by $\oslash \mathrm{J}$ ．Yet another 10 IMPs for Monaco，and the final margin of victory was 72：14．

## NETHERLANDS vs MONACO

## by David Bird

## Open Teams, Round 35

Two teams with high hopes of medals faced each other with three matches to go. We will move straight to the action:

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Drijuer | Helness | Brink |
| $2 \Omega$ | Dble | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{4} \%$ |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |



The 3\% response showed diamonds. Drijver won the heart lead and cashed the ace and king of trumps. He played the \&AK, stripping West of that suit, and exited with a heart. It was what you might call a 'very partial elimination'. Helgemo had no wish to play a diamond when declarer was marked with the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and opted to give a ruff-and-discard. Drijver discarded one of his club losers and ruffed with dummy's last trump. The contract was his.
At the other table Multon made the game by setting up the diamonds with an early losing finesse. He did not then have to lose a club trick.
And so to greater things:
Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
A AKJ983
$\checkmark$ J 10
$\diamond$ A K J 10
$\therefore 8$


Open Room
West

Helgemo \begin{tabular}{lll}
North <br>
Drijuer

$\quad$

East <br>
Helness

$\quad$

South <br>
Brink
\end{tabular}

Drijver's 3\$ was enough for Brink to prime the RKCB cannon, hearing of three key-cards. His 5NT announced that all six key-cards were present and requested partner to name his lowest side-suit king. The $6 \bigcirc$ continuation was clearly a grand-slam try (it is sometimes used to ask whether partner holds the $\bigcirc \mathrm{K})$. Drijver then leapt to $7 \boldsymbol{A}$, demonstrating that he is braver man then I would have been.
A heart lead might have been awkward but Helness

| Gо то page: | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  | 21 |  | 22 |  | 12 |
| ROSTERS | RESULTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

started with a trump. Declarer won, played a club to the ace and ruffed a club. He then cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and ruffed a diamond. All followed when another club was ruffed. Drijver then ruffed the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ with the Q and ruffed a fourth round of clubs high, clearing a club winner in dummy. He then drew the outstanding trumps, scored the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and crossed to the $\circlearrowleft \mathrm{A}$ to discard a heart on the 9 Q . At 16 tables out of 36 the grand slam was bid; 15 times it was made.

This was the auction in the Closed Room:

| West <br> De Wijs | North <br> Multon | East <br> Muller | South <br> Martens |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $1 \diamond *$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $5 \uparrow$ | Pass | $6 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

They stopped in six and that was 11 IMPs away. At 16 tables out of 36 the grand slam was bid; 15 times it was made.


The deadline is tight and I will describe just one more board:

Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.

- 10
© J 98543
$\diamond$ KQ 863
\& 10

| A K 876 | N | - Q 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q | $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{N}}$ E | $\bigcirc$ A 7 |
| $\diamond$ J 94 | W E | $\diamond$ A 72 |
| \& KJ4 32 | S | \& A Q 986 |
|  | A AJ954 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 1062 |  |
|  | $\diamond 105$ |  |
|  | \& 75 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Helgemo | North <br> Drijuer | East <br> Helness | South <br> Brink <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | $3 N T$ | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

East's 3NT would have made on a spade lead or any heart lead except the king. All academic, of course, because Brink had a clear raise to $4 \triangle$, doubled by West. How would this 14 -point game fare?
Declarer can set up the diamond suit with little trouble, so all depends on losing just one trump trick. Helness began with ace and another trump, which could easily be right on another day, and declarer soon had +590 on his card. Meanwhile, in the Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs |  |  |  | | Multon |
| :--- |$\quad$| Muller |
| :--- | | Martens |
| :--- |
| Pass |

South's $2 \triangle$ showed a weak hand with both majors. Muller won the club lead and returned a spade to declarer's ace. After a diamond to the king and ace, declarer ruffed the $\mathscr{Q}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ return and led a heart to the 10 . That was the percentage play but unlucky on this occasion. Netherlands gained 11 IMPs and won by 41 IMPs to 7 (17.31 VPs to 2.69).

## A view from the viewgraph

This deal from round 35 did not create a swing but I thought it deserved to. I'll give you the problem first as a single dummy one - and rotate it to make South declarer.

Board 4. Dealer West. Both Vul.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { s } & \text { A 6 } 2 \\
\diamond & \text { J } 10 \\
\diamond & \text { A J } 853 \\
\text { \& } & 1032
\end{array}
$$

A K 10973
$\checkmark$ A 7
$\diamond K 2$
\& AK 74
Netherlands v. Monaco

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Helness | Brink | Helgemo | Drijuer |
|  |  | 20 | Dbl. |
| Pass | $30(\diamond)$ | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |

Brink showed diamonds and at least invitational values, so did not need to make a slam try at his second turn. How should you play 4 4 on a low heart lead to the queen.
One possibility is to duck a club early, then play $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}$ and arrange to ruff a fourth club.
Multon in the same contract took an early diamond finesse. Then he drew trump and fell back on the diamonds breaking 3-3. Not a bad line but hardly a claimer...

This line worked, but here is the full deal.
A. 62
$\checkmark$ J 10
$\diamond$ AJ 853
\& 1032

| $*$ | Q J 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ | 982 |
| $\diamond$ | 1064 |
|  | Q 986 |

$W^{N} \quad \mathrm{E}$

A K 10973
$\checkmark$ A 7
$\diamond K 2$
\& AK74
Drijver took a completely different tack. He won $\checkmark A, \uparrow K$ (Helgemo dropping a deceptive eight) led a spade to dummy, and might now have ducked a club as well. Instead he cashed two rounds of clubs, unblocked $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and exited with a heart. East was thrown on lead and had a choice of giving a ruff sluff or returning a diamond into the tenace. Had he started life with a 3-6-2-2 pattern he might have been able to cash the long spade and exit in hearts but then declarer can fall back on the diamond finesse - and if he loses to the doubleton $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ he can console himself that the line chosen in the other room would have failed too!
Elke Weber told the bulletin that Marilina Vanuzzi for Italy also found a nice line. Vanuzzi won the $\triangle A$ and played $4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~K}$ and a third club. When Weber led the fourth club, Vanuzzi discarded dummy's losing heart to ensure the ruff in dummy. Had the defenders cashed their heart, they would have been unable to lead the fourth club.


It seemed like every time the Monegasques had a chance for gain, the opportunity slipped away from them. Consider this problem Franck Multon faced. (Again rotated 180 degrees)

Here is the full deal:

| ¢ | 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | 8754 |
| $\diamond$ | 953 |
| \& | K Q 743 |
| a | A Q J 1086 |
| $\bigcirc$ | A J 2 |
| $\diamond$ | A Q 102 |
| \& | --- |

Open Room

| West <br> Muller | North <br> Martens | East <br> De Wijs | South <br> Multon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Dbl. | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

You need more than a little help when partner puts down plenty of nothing. But you receive it when Muller leads the $\diamond 8$ to the three, king(??) and your ace. You try the spade ace, then jack. West thinks for an eternity, wins the king, then tries to cash $\& \mathrm{~A}$ !

Now you are in business. You ruff, draw the trump, as both opponents follow, suggesting West began with 3-4-2-4 shape.

What now?
Multon played ace and another heart, hoping to be allowed to duck this to East. Muller carefully went up with the queen in case he needed to crocodile the jack in his partner's hand, and played on hearts to leave declarer with a diamond to lose at the end.

Here is the full deal:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\bigcirc 8754$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\diamond 953$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | - KQ743 |  |  |  |
| 0 | K 43 |  | N | ¢ | 952 |
|  | K Q 106 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 93 |
|  | 84 |  | W E | $\diamond$ | K J 76 |
| \& | A 1062 |  | S | 4 | J 985 |
|  |  | 9 | A Q J 108 |  |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | A J 2 |  |  |
|  |  | $\diamond$ | A Q 102 |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | --- |  |  |

The winning line in the eight-card ending is to exit with a low heart. The defenders can win in either hand, so let's say East wins the nine and returns a heart. You take this, and play $\diamond 10$, which East must duck or the $\diamond 9$ is an entry. That is why the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ at trick one was such an error. Partner either has $\diamond 8 \mathrm{x}$ or $\diamond$ Q108 so there is no point in covering.
When East ducks, you play $\triangle \mathrm{A}, \diamond \mathrm{A}$ and another diamond, and East must give dummy its club winner, on which your heart goes away.
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## HUNGARY vs TURKEY

## by John Carruthers

## Senior Teams, Round 21

At the start of play, Turkey was in seventh place, threatening the qualifiers. Hungary, former Senior World Champions, had so far been disappointing, floundering near the middle of the standings.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
A K 10973
© A 7
$\diamond K 2$
\& AK74

| A 84 | N | A Q J 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ KQ6543 |  | $\bigcirc 982$ |
| $\diamond$ Q 97 |  | $\diamond 1064$ |
| 4 J 5 | S | \& Q 986 |
|  | - A62 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 10 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AJ 853 |  |
|  | \& 1032 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Koltai | Ince | Kovacs | Kökten |
| $2 \varnothing$ | Dble | Pass | $3 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $4 \triangleleft$ |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\wedge}$ | All Pass |  |

## $3 \diamond$ Shows values

Kovacs led a heart to West's queen and declarer's ace. If declarer ducks a club immediately, he cannot be beaten. However, he played the king of spades and another, ducking East's jack. Now a third trump would have left him a trick short. However, East went back to hearts. West took his king and led a low club, returning the ball to North. Declarer ducked to East's queen of clubs and he led another, ducked to the jack and king. Declarer had two club tricks now, so drew the last trump and claimed ten tricks for plus 620.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aksoy | Gal | Siriklioglu Szappanos |  |
| $2 \Omega$ | Dble | Pass | $3 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

$3 \diamond$ Shows values

A heart was also led here. Declarer won, took the king and ace of spades, the ace of clubs and ducked a club to West's jack. With East having no entry to take the queen of spades, declarer was able to ruff the losing club in the dummy for a push.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
A AKJ983
$\checkmark$ J 10
$\diamond$ AKJ 10
48


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Koltai | Ince | Kovacs | Kökten |
| - | - | $2 \diamond$ | Dble |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 ¢$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 40 |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 54 |
| Pass | 5NT | Pass | $6 \%$ |
| Pass | 7a | All Pass |  |

The four-level bids were control bids in support of spades, and Ince apparently liked the six-club response to Five Notrump enough to bid the grand slam. With trumps 2-2 and the diamond position known, declarer could ruff down the king of clubs to pitch his heart loser and take the ruffing finesse in diamonds for a magnificent plus 1510 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aksoy | Gal | Siriklioglu Szappanos |  |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{1} \mathbf{0}$ |
| Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{6}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Dble | $3 \circlearrowleft$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

the key feature of the deal, the great spade fit and the controls. Gal made 12 tricks on a diamond lead, plus 490, but 14 IMPs to Turkey. In effect, the grand slam had risked 11 IMPs to gain 3 .

On Board 8, both declarers had a chance to win IMPs by guessing how to make a second club trick with jack-ten-to-four opposite ace-third, with the suit being first broached by the hand in front of the jackten. A low club went to the jack, queen and ace. Both declarers led to the ten next, losing to the king. The king-queen had been doubleton and both declarers should have known that the defender who shifted to clubs had no entry, so no club honour.

Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.

- 10
$\odot$ J 98543
$\diamond$ KQ 863
\& 10

| ค K 876 |  | N | a Q 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q |  | W E | $\bigcirc$ A 7 |
| $\diamond$ J 94 |  |  | $\diamond$ A 72 |
| \& KJ432 |  | S | \& A Q 986 |
|  | 4 | AJ 954 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | K 1062 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | 105 |  |
|  | 4 | 75 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Koltai | Ince | Kovacs | Kökten |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | 20 | 3\% | 40 |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Aksoy | Gal | Siriklioglu | Szappanos |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | 30 | 3NT | $4 \bigcirc$ |

Dble All Pass

One supposes that Aksoy had more reason to double than had Koltai. With such club length, Koltai had little defence against hearts. Nevertheless, the success or failure of four hearts doubled boiled down to the trump guess. Both Easts led a spade. Ince played on diamonds, finding them 3-3. When he led a heart at the crucial moment, East followed low and he paused for thought. It appeared that East had $3=2=3=5$ distribution, thus West was left with $4=1=3=5$. How could West double with such a big club fit without the ace of hearts? On the other hand, how could East
overcall on a five-card suit without the ace of hearts? A true dilemma. Ince eventually ran the jack for minus 100.

Gal had more reason to believe the cards lay as they actually did and went up with the king of hearts, dropping the queen and scoring a great plus 590 for a 12-IMP gain for Hungary.

Board 12. Dealer West. N/S Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Koltai | Ince | Kovacs | Kökten |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Dble | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | $3 \diamond$ |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass |
| $5 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |

## $2 \diamond$ Majors

On a high heart lead and spade shift, Kovacs made the technical plays of winning with the ace of spades, dropping his king, and leading the jack of diamonds to pick up the suit. He was able to knock out the ace of clubs, ruffs two clubs in the dummy with the eight and nine of diamonds, then lead the six for a finesse of the seven. That as a well-earned 11 tricks and plus 400. However ...


Five hearts was really indiscreet and undid Kovacs' good work at the other table. Gal did the best he could, struggling to make eight tricks for minus 800 and a loss of 9 IMPs.

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Koltai | Ince | Kovacs | Kökten |
| - | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| 1NT | Dble | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

It was apparently too tough for Koltai to lead the ace and another club. On a spade to the queen, Kovacs could have saved him by leading his trump, but he shifted to the queen of diamonds. Kökten won with the king and ruffed a spade. He took the king and ace of hearts and led another spade. Koltai discarded a diamond, so declarer ruffed it in the dummy, cashed the ace of diamonds and ruffed a diamond.

West had been reduced to his five trumps. When Kökten led another spade, West ruffed low and the king of clubs won in the dummy. Declarer led a diamond. East was able to ruff with the six, but declarer countered that by throwing his last spade away. West was able to underruff with the four of clubs leaving East on lead. However, on a plain card from East, South could ruff with the nine, trump-endplaying West for +670 . Terrific declarer play, not so great defence. A heart lead from dummy at the crucial moment would have led to the same result.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aksoy | Gal | Siriklioglu Szappanos |  |
| - | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 1NT | Dble | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | $3 \$$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

On the same auction, Aksoy declined to double and led a spade. East won and shifted to the heart queen. Szappanos timed the play slightly differently, ruffing two diamonds safely in hand before ruffing a spade in the dummy. Thus when he led dummy's fifth diamond, West could overruff and lead the ace and another club for one off; minus 100 and 13 IMPs to Turkey.

Turkey had a well-deserved 43-20 win, moving them into a solid fifth place in the table, 8.5 Victory Points ahead of Italy in sixth and 9.5 in front of England in seventh.

## MONACO WELCOMES THE

# CAVENDISH V MONACO, FROM 14 то 19 FEBRUARY, 2017 

## WWW.CAVENDISH.BRIDGEMONACO.COM



# THE IMPOSSIBLE WE DO AT ONCE MIRACLES TAKE LITTLE LONGER 

by Mark Horton

The rationale behind my choice of title rests with the inscription on the memorial to the Seabees (U.S. Naval Construction Battalions), between Memorial Bridge and Arlington Cemetery:
With willing hearts and skillful hands, the difficult we do at once; the impossible takes a bit longer.

In the penultimate round of the Open Championship England and Italy met in a situation where they both need a big win.

The deals were explosive, none more so than this one:
Board 25. Dealer North. EW Vul.

- Q432
$\checkmark$ KQ 5
$\diamond$ Q 754
$W^{N} E$
- A 76
$\diamond$ AK 83
S
ค 1062
* K J 965

๑ 982
$\diamond 1096$
\& 97

In the Closed Room, Forrester \& Robson had reached 6\%, but South led a heart, which left declarer without resource, one down, -100.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Gold | Lauria | Bakhshi |
| - | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| 2 * | $3 \uparrow$ | Dble | Pass |
| 4 | Pass | $5 \%$ | Pass |
| 5NT | Pass | $6 \%$ | All Pass |

Here South led the five of spades and declarer won with dummy's ace, ruffed a spade, played two rounds of clubs ending in dummy and ruffed a spade. Having eliminated that suit he ran the jack of hearts, North winning with the king. This was the position:


I was commentating on BBO and many spectators were waxing lyrical about declarer's play. For sure he had given himself the best chance and when North exited with a diamond he could win with the jack, cross to dummy with a heart (Vienna Coup) and cash the remaining trumps, the last of which was more than North could stand.

Beautifully played, but as I had to point out, North could have spoiled the party by exiting with the queen of spades rather than a diamond. The ruff and discard gives declarer one extra trick, but he cannot find another.
This is not easy to see, especially in the heart of battle after nine days play, but North knew South had started with five spades and two clubs and he had shown an odd number of hearts and ergo, three diamonds.

How much this should detract from declarer's wonderful play is difficult to say; what is certain is that it gave Italy 16 IMPs on the way to an emphatic win that kept their hopes alive.

| go to Page: | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  | 22 |  | ROSTERS | RESULTS |

## EBL PRESS CONFERENCE

by Hermann De Wael


A press conference was held at the Groupama Arena venue on Saturday morning. Answering the questions were Yves Aubry, EBL President, Geza Homonnay, President of the Hungarian federation, Josef Harsanyi, on-site organizer for the EBL, and Jan Kamras of the Championship's Committee.

Per Jannersten, deputising for IBPA Chairman Patrick Jourdain, hosted the meeting and started by congratulating the Hungarian Federation and the EBL for a magnificently organized championship. Warm hearted applause from the audience showed that these sentiments were shared by all.

Yves Aubry gave an overview of upcoming European Championships. The Open Championships in 2017 will be in Montecatini Terme, while the 2018 Team Championships are to take place in Oostende. Negotiations are underway with Opatija for 2019 and Madeira for 2020.
It was also reiterated that qualifications for the Bermuda Bowl, Venice Cup and d'Orsi Trophy for Lyon 2017 will go to the first six teams in all three categories here, with the seventh team also qualifying if France secure a place in the top six.

First to ask a question was Jan van Cleeff of the Netherlands. He wanted to know if the EBL was under financial duress because of the cost of the cheating investigations. The EBL executive replied that costs would be, as far as possible, be recovered from eventually convicted players (with payment necessary in order to restore the good standing after the expiration of any ban). Furthermore, the EBL does have substantial reserves and there are no immediate concerns.

Jan van Cleeff also wanted to know if a website, which had been broadcasting Butler scores early in
the championships, but which had stopped doing so, had been censured by the EBL. Jan Kamras replied that the EBL had given no order to stop the broadcast, but that the site had probably calculated the scores themselves incorrectly, and stopped. The Butler scores have been shown to the Captains only.

Next up was David Jackson from Ireland, who wanted to know whether tournaments before Opatija would be reviewed and possible equity be restored to those players who might have been damaged through cheating. While the EBL wanted to make certain that players could compete with confidence in coming tournaments, little can be done about the past without conclusive evidence of wrongdoing.

A Hungarian journalist wanted to know if enough had been done to exploit the European Championship with regard to marketing towards the home market. Josef Harsanyi, who has Hungarian roots recalled the European Championships in Wiesbaden in 1985, after which the German Bridge federation doubled in numbers. He hoped the Hungarians would have a similar result and considering interviews that had appeared on Hungarian TV and in major newspapers, was confident that this would be the case.

Ron Tacchi asked why the Internet sometimes went down at important times. It turned out that the bandwidth at the venue was not the issue, but rather room at the servers in Lausanne.
He also pointed out that many convention cards were less than informative. Jan Kamras confirmed that this issue was being addressed.

It was the opinion of those present that these championships were amongst the finest in the history of the EBL.

## OPEN TEAMS ROSTERS

## AUSTRIA

Andreas BABSCH
Torbjorn JONSSON
Arno LINDERMANN
Gunther PURKARTHOFER Josef SIMON
Christian TERRANEO
Andreas BABSCH pc
Arno LINDERMANN coach

## BELARUS

Andrei KAVALENKA
Aleksandr KORZUN
Igor RADJUKEVICH
Andrej SOTNIKAU
Aleh TSIMAKHOVICH
Alexander ZHUKOV
Sviatlana BADRANKOVA
npc \& coach

## BELGIUM

Sam BAHBOUT
Philippe COENRAETS
Steven DE DONDER
Steve DE ROOS
Zvi ENGEL
Mike VANDERVORST
Patrick BOCKEN npc

## BULGARIA

Diyan DANAILOV
Vladimir MARASHEV
Borislav POPOV
Stefan SKORCHEV Jerry STAMATOV
Ivan TSONCHEV
Vladislav N. ISPORSKI npc

## CROATIA

Goran BOREVKOVIC
Kiril MARINOVSKI
Marina PILIPOVIC
Ognjen STANICIC
Nikica SVER
Vedran ZORIC
Tvrtko PERKOVIC npc Alexander HYDES coach

## CYPRUS

Aleka ASTREOU
Philippos FRANGOS
George GEORGIADES
George KOLETTIS
Takis POLITIS
Frosso TILLYRI
Philippos FRANGOS pc

## CZECH REPUBLIC

Patrik BOURA
Frantisek KRALIK
Jan MARTYNEK
Petr PULKRAB
Jakub SLEMR
David VOZABAL
David VOZABAL pc

## DENMARK

Dennis BILDE
Morten BILDE
Knut BLAKSET
Mathias BRUUN
Soren CHRISTIANSEN
Martin SCHALTZ
Bo Loenberg BILDE npc
Jacob RON coach

ENGLAND
David BAKHSHI
Tony FORRESTER
David GOLD
Jason HACKETT
Justin HACKETT
Andrew ROBSON
David PRICE npc
Alan MOULD coach

## ESTONIA

Maksim KARPOV
Tiit LAANEMAE
Vassili LEVENKO
Leo LUKS
Lauri NABER
Sven SESTER
Aarne RUMMEL npc

## FAROE ISLANDS

Arant BERJASTEIN
Roi A Rogvu JOENSEN
Magni JOKLADAL
Simin LASSABERG
Arne MIKKELSEN
Bogi SIMONSEN
A. MARQUARDSEN npc Ossur WINTHEREIG coach

## FINLAND

Vesa FAGERLUND
Kauko KOISTINEN
Vesa LESKELA
Clas NYBERG
Kauko KOISTINEN npc
Sanna KITTI coach

## FRANCE

Thomas BESSIS
Francois COMBESCURE Cedric LORENZINI
Jean-Christophe QUANTIN Jerome ROMBAUT
Frederic VOLCKER
Lionel SEBBANE npc

## GEORGIA

George ABZIANIDZE
Revaz BERIASHVILI
Rati BURDIASHVILI
Grigol GOGOBERIDZE
Gocha GOSHADZE
Giorgi UCHAVA

## GERMANY

Sabine AUKEN
Michael GROMOELLER
Julius LINDE
Martin REHDER
Christian SCHWERDT
Roy WELLAND
Kevin CASTNER npc
Andrea SCHWERDT coach

## GREECE

Konstantinos DOXIADIS Aris FILIOS
K. KONTOMITROS

Tassos KOUKOUSELIS
Thanassis MATZIARIS
Petros ROUSSOS
Y. PAPAKYRIAKOPOULOS
npc

HUNGARY
Miklos DUMBOVICH
Gal HEGEDUS
Gyorgy KEMENY
Tamas SZALKA
Laszlo SZILAGYI
Gabor WINKLER
Peter TALYIGAS npc
Gyorgy SZALAY coach

## ICELAND

Sveinn Runar EIRIKSSON
Throstur INGIMARSSON
Birkir JONSSON
Thorlakur JONSSON
Adalsteinn JORGENSEN
Magnus E. MAGNUSSON
Ragnar HERMANNSSON npc
Anna T.JONSDOTTIR coach

## IRELAND

Rory BOLAND
John CARROLL
Tommy GARVEY
Tom HANLON
Hugh McGANN
Mark MORAN
Grainne BARTON npc

## ISRAEL

Ilan BAREKET
Michael BAREL
Assaf LENGY
Amir LEVIN
Josef ROLL
Yaniv ZACK
Ilan BAREKET pc
Eldad GINOSSAR coach

## ITALY

Massimiliano DI FRANCO
Giovanni DONATI
Alessandro GANDOGLIA
Lorenzo LAURIA
Andrea MANNO
Alfredo VERSACE
Gianni MEDUGNO npc

## LATVIA

Nauris ARMANIS
Martins LORENCS
Janis NEIMANIS
Bruno RUBENIS
Ivars RUBENIS
Karlis RUBINS

## NORWAY

Erik BERG
Boye BROGELAND
Thomas CHARLSEN
Thor Erik HOFTANISKA
Espen LINDQVIST
Steffen F. SIMONSEN
Christian VENNEROED npc

## Sten BJERTNES coach

## POLAND

Piotr GAWRYS
Krzysztof JASSEM
Jacek KALITA
Michal KLUKOWSKI
Marcin MAZURKIEWICZ
Michal NOWOSADZKI
Piotr WALCZAK npc
S. GOLEBIOWSKI coach

## PORTUGAL

Joao BARBOSA
Pedro MADEIRA
Antonio PALMA
Nuno PAZ
Sofia PESSOA
Paulo SARMENTO
Rui PINTO npc
A. MORTAROTTI coach

## ROMANIA

Ionut COLDEA
Filip FLORIN
Marius IONITA
Bogdan MARINA
Dan MORARU
Marina STEGAROIU
Marius GEORGESCU npc R. SPIRIDONESCU coach

## RUSSIA

Igor KHAZANOV
Maria LEBEDEVA
Sergei ORLOV
Dmitri PROKHOROV
Vladmir TATARKIN
Andrez VORONOV

## SCOTLAND

Alan GOODMAN
Irving GORDON
Danny KANE
Stephen PETERKIN
Derek SANDERS
Brian SHORT
Sandy DUNCAN npc

## SERBIA

Dejan JOVANOVIC
Zoran KOLDZIC
Darko PAREZANIN
Marko PERISIC
Goran RADISIC
Pierre ZIMMERMANN Dimitraki ZIPOVSKI

Jean Charles ALLAVENA pc

## MONACO

Jean Charles ALLAVENA
Geir HELGEMO
Tor HELNESS
Krzysztof MARTENS
Franck MULTON
c

## NETHERLANDS

Sjoert BRINK
Simon DE WIJS
Bas DRIJVER
Bob DRIJVER
Bauke MULLER
Bart NAB
Anton MAAS npc
Ton BAKKEREN coach

## SWEDEN

Fredrik NYSTROM
Mikael RIMSTEDT
Ola RIMSTEDT
Johan SYLVAN
Johan UPMARK
Frederic WRANG
Jan LAGERMAN npc

## SWITZERLAND

Bachar ABOU CHANAB
Stephan MAGNUSSON
Dmitrij NIKOLENKOV
Fernando PIEDRA
Marco SASSELLI
Cedric THOMPSON
Fernando PIEDRA pc

## TURKEY

Nuri CENGIZ
Orhan EKINCI
Yusuf KAHYAOGLOU
Enver KOKSOY
Ali UCAR
Nafiz ZORLU
Mustafa Cem TOKAY npc
Dundar CIFTCIOGLU coach

## UKRAINE

Volodymyr DRAGAN
Oleksandr NYEMTSEV
Volodymyr PORKHUN
Oleg ROVYSHYN
Gennadii RYBNIKOV
Borys SHUKHMEYSTER
Volodymyr DRAGAN pc

## WALES

Gary JONES
Patrick JOURDAIN
Richard Mark PLACKETT
Julian POTTAGE
Tony RATCLIFF
Simon RICHARDS
Alan STEPHENSON npc
Krista P. EDWARDS coach

## SPAIN

Gabriel CARRASCO
Gabriel FRACTMAN
Federico GODED
Gonzalo GODED MERINO
Ramon GOMEZ HIERRO
Pedro GONCALVES
Enrique PINTO POCH npc

## WOMEN TEAMS ROSTERS

## BULGARIA

Daniella GRIGOROVA Desislava MALAKOVA Miriana MITOVSKA Stefka PASKALEVA Mariana RIBARSKA Valya YANEVA

## DENMARK

Nadia BEKKOUCHE
Lone BILDE
Tina EGE Stense FARHOLT Maria Marit RAHELT Helle RASMUSSEN Kirsten Steen MOLLER npc Birgitte NIELSEN coach

## ENGLAND

Sally BROCK
Fiona BROWN
Heather DHONDY
Catherine DRAPER
Nevena SENIOR
Nicola SMITH
Derek PATTERSON npc
David BURN coach

## ESTONIA

Maarja ORAS
Ines PIIBELEHT
Tuul SEPP
Aire TAUBE Irene TEINEMAA
Triinu VIILUP

## FINLAND

Agneta BERGLUND
Elina LAUKKANEN
Mirja MANTYLA
Maria MYLLAERI
Maria NORDGREN
Kati SANDSTROM
Kati SANDSTROM npc
Jyrki MALLIUS

## FRANCE

Benedicte CRONIER Catherine D'OVIDIO Nathalie FREY
Vanessa REESS
Sylvie WILLARD Joanna ZOCHOWSKA
Laurent THUILLEZ npc

## GERMANY

Marie EGGELING
Anne GLADIATOR Barbara HACKETT Susanne KRIFTNER Claudia VECHIATTO Elke WEBER
Paul GRUENKE npc

## GREECE

Efi KATSARELI
Georgia MITSI
TINA MOULIOU
Vivian SPANOU
R. STATHAKOPOULOU

Anastasia VELONI
Dionysios LOVERDOS npc
C. SRAKOPOULOU coach

## HUNGARY

Szilvia CSIPKA
Brigitta FISCHER
Orsolya HEGEDUS
Krisztina ORMAY Els TOUTENEL
Agnes ZALAI
Karoly KELEN npc Csaba SZABO coach

## IRELAND

Valarie BURKE-MORAN Diane GREENWOOD
Joan KENNY
Louise MITCHELL
Lucy PHELAN
Kathleen VAUGHAN
Brendan J O'BRIEN npc

## ISRAEL

Adi ASULIN
Hila LEVI
Michal NOSACKI
Nathalie SAADA
Dana TAL
Noga TAL
Shachar ZACK npc Michael BAREL coach

## ITALY

Margherita CHAVARRIA Beatrice DELLE COSTE
Francesca PISCITELLI
Annalisa ROSETTA
Vanessa TORIELLI
Marilina VANUZZI
Gianni MEDUGNO npc
Gianpaolo RINALDI coach

## NETHERLANDS

Laura DEKKERS
Marion MICHIELSEN
Jet PASMAN
Anneke SIMONS
Doris VAN DELFT
Meike WORTEL
Alex VAN REENEN npc
Hans KELDER coach

## NORWAY

Maja Rom ANJER
Ann Karin FUGLESTAD
Marianne HARDING
Torild HESKJE
Pernille LINDAAS
Gunn Tove VIST
Tormod ROREN npc Oyvind SAUR coach

## POLAND

Cathy BALDYSZ
Grazyna BREWIAK
Katarzyna DUFRAT
Danuta KAZMUCHA
Anna SARNIAK
Justyna ZMUDA
Miroslaw CICHOCKI npc

## SERBIA

Aleksandra DJORDJEVIC
Sanja LAZIC
Selena PEPIC
Milka SABLJIC
Biljana TALIJAN
Jovana ZORANOVIC

## SPAIN

Marta BARNES
Marina CASTELLS
Laura CASTELLS-CONRADO
Margarita F. MENENDEZ
M. Eugenia HERNANDEZ

Angeles MURUAGA
Cristina V. CASTELLS npc
Marina D.C.CONRADO coach

## SWEDEN

Catharina AHLESVED
Pia ANDERSSON
Kathrine BERTHEAU
Ida GRONKVIST
Maria GRONKVIST
Cecilia RIMSTEDT
Bent-G. OLOFSSON npc
Carina WADEMARK coach

## TURKEY

Vera ADUT
Ozlem OYMEN
Funda OZBEY
Hatice OZGUR
Filiz UYGAN
Dilek YAVAS
Mustafa Cem TOKAY npc

Michele GLADSTONE
Helen KANE
Paula LESLIE
Elizabeth McGOWAN
Sam PUNCH
Anne SYMONS
Elizabeth McGOWAN pc

PORTUGAL
Ana BRITO
Teresa KAY
Anabella OLIVEIRA
Alexandra ROSADO
Ana TADEU
Juliano BARBOSA npc
Juliano BARBOSA coach

## RUSSIA

Victoria GROMOVA
Anna GULEVICH Elena KHONICHEVA
Tatiana PONOMAREVA Diana RAKHMANI
Maria YAKOVLEVA

## SAN MARINO

Maria Beatrice GORRA
Daniela MALDINI
Antonia PECCI
Maurizia RITIANI
Rossella TANTINI
Antonia PECCI pc

## SCOTLAND

## AUSTRIA

Johannes BAMBERGER
Robert FRANZEL
Jan FUCIK
Hubert OBERMAIR
Franz TERRANEO
Sylvia TERRANEO
Franz TERRANEO pc
Sylvia TERRANEO coach
BELGIUM
Faramarz BIGDELI
David JOHNSON
Alain KAPLAN
Jean-Pierre
LAFOURCADE
Guy POLET
Jacques STAS

## BULGARIA

VLADIMIR BEREANU
Georgi GERGOV
Hristo HRISTOV
Ludmil LAZAROV
Lilo POPLILOV
Tony RUSEV
Tony RUSEV pc
Matilda POPLILOV coach

## DENMARK

Knud-Aage BOESGAARD Jorgen HANSEN
Hans Christian NIELSEN
Dorthe SCHALTZ
Peter SCHALTZ
Steen SCHOU
Peter MAGNUSSEN npc
Jytte IBSEN coach

## ENGLAND

Patrick COLLINS
John HOLLAND
David KENDRICK
Sandra PENFOLD
Norman SELWAY
Brian SENIOR
Paul D HACKETT npc

## ESTONIA

Paul LEIS
Jaan LINNAMAGI
Peeter LOND
Tonis PLEKSEPP
Aarne RUMMEL
Jaak SIMM
Aarne RUMMEL pc

## FINLAND

Kari ENGELBARTH Joakim FABRITIUS
Seppo NIEMI
Osmo PARVIAINEN
Matti SIHVOLA
Lasse UTTER
Lasse UTTER pc Leena BUTZOW coach

## FRANCE

Nicholas DECHELETTE
Pierre-Yves GUILLAUMIN Georges IONTZEFF
Jean-Jacques PALAU
Pierre SCHMIDT
Philippe TOFFIER
Eric GAUTRET npc

## GERMANY

Claus DAEHR Berthold ENGEL Loek FRESEN Herbert KLUMPP Reiner MARSAL
Waltraud VOGT Joerg FRITSCHE npc

## HUNGARY

Peter GAL Sandor JAKAB Gabor KOLTAI Mihaly KOVACS Peter MAGYAR Geza SZAPPANOS Gyorgy BARANY npc

## IRELAND

Nicholas FITZGIBBON Gay KEAVENEY Patrick McDEVITT Adam MESBUR Micheal O'BRIAIN Padraig O'BRIAIN David A JACKSON npc

## ISRAEL

Meir BERKMAN Avi KALISH
Leonid PODGUR Adrian SCHWARTZ Noah TYMIANKER Shalom ZELIGMAN
Etan ORENSTEIN npc

## ITALY

Andrea BURATTI
Amedeo COMELLA
Giuseppe FAILLA Aldo MINA
Ruggero PULGA
Stefano SABBATINI
Peter PAUNCZ npc

## NETHERLANDS

Nico DOREMANS Willem GOSSCHALK Andre MULDER Chris NIEMEIJER Jaap TROUWBORST Willem VAN EIJCK Chris NIEMEIJER pc

## NORWAY

Rune B. ANDERSSEN
Tor BAKKE
Arve FARSTAD
Helge MAESEL
Roald MAESEL
Peter MARSTRANDER
Peter MARSTRANDER pc Leif-Erik STABELL coach

## POLAND

Julian KLUKOWSKI
Apolinary KOWALSKI
Krzysztof LASOCKI
Victor MARKOWICZ Jacek ROMANSKI Jerzy RUSSYAN
Wlodzimierz WALA npc

## PORTUGAL

Jorge C. CASTANHEIRA Jorge CRUZEIRO. M. d' OREY CAPUCHO

Joao Paulo Rocha PINTO. Jorge M. Dos SANTOS. Rui Silva SANTOS.
Acacio FIGUEIREDO npc
Maria Joao LARA coach

## ROMANIA

Mihail BALUNA
Emil DOGARU
Victor MARCULETIU
Catalin POPESCU
Christian POPESCU
Nicolae VARTANOVICI
Nicolae VARTANOVICI pc Mehmet Emin COPUR npc

## SCOTLAND

Derek DIAMOND
Gerald HAASE
John MATHESON
John MURDOCH
Victor SILVERSTONE
Iain SIME
Anne PERKINS npc
Patricia MATHESON coach Krista P.EDWARDS coach

## SERBIA

Miroslav BLAGOJEVIC
Milan CVOROVIC
Mihajlo FILIPOVIC
Slobodan FILIPOVIC
Ljubomir MIJOVIC
Vladimir STOSIC

## SPAIN

Juan ESTERUELAS
Aurelio GRACIA
Pedro GUERRERO
Joao PASSARINHO
Antonio S. Y MORENO Gerardo WICHMANN Maria FERRER npc Paloma ANTON coach

## SWEDEN

Mats AXDORPH
Sven-Ake BJERREGARD
Bengt-Erik EFRAIMSSON
Per Gunnar ELIASSON
Anders MORATH
Johnny OSTBERG
Tommy GULLBERG npc
Carina WADEMARK coach

## TURKEY

Ibrahim AKSOY
Mehmet Ali INCE
Mesut KARADENIZ
Namik KOKTEN
Mehmet SIRIKLIOGLU
Veysel YILDIZ

WALES
Paul DENNING
Diane KURBALIJA
Filip KURBALIJA
John SALISBURY
Patrick SHIELDS
Mike TEDD
Alan STEPHENSON npc
Krista P.EDWARDS coach

## RESULTS OPEN TEAMS

|  | 1 | ROMANIA | TURKEY | 52 | 35 | 14.39 | 5.61 | FINAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | LATVIA | BELARUS | 33 | 44 | 6.96 | 13.04 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | FRANCE | AUSTRIA | 49 | 26 | 15.56 | 4.44 | RANKING |  |  |
|  | 4 | POLAND | IRELAND | 49 | 42 | 12.03 | 7.97 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | SWITZERLAND | SWEDEN | 40 | 29 | 13.04 | 6.96 | 1 | FRANCE | 489.77 |
|  | 6 | HUNGARY | FAROE ISLANDS | 48 35 | 23 | 15.92 11.20 | 4.08 8.80 | 2 | SWANEDEN | 474.92 |
|  | 7 | GEORGIA | WALES | 35 | 31 | 11.20 | 8.80 | 2 | SWEDEN | 474.92 |
|  | 8 | GERMANY | NORWAY | 43 | 32 | 13.04 | 6.96 | 3 | NETHERLANDS | 471.07 |
|  | 9 | ICELAND | ITALY | 6 | 24 | 5.40 | 14.60 | 4 |  |  |
| 10 | 10 | FINLAND | UKRAINE | 19 | 28 | 7.45 | 12.55 | 4 | MONACO | 464.92 |
| ต | 11 | SERBIA | SPAIN | 17 | 33 | 5.82 | 14.18 | 5 | GERMANY | 446.16 |
|  | 12 | NETHERLANDS | MONACO | 41 | 7 | 17.31 | 2.69 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | 13 | ESTONIA | BULGARIA | 16 | 21 | 8.52 | 11.48 | 6 | ITALY | 432.70 |
|  | 14 | CYPRUS | PORTUGAL | 17 | 81 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 7 | BULGARIA | 432.06 |
| 5 | 15 | CZECH REPUBLIC | BELGIUM | 16 | 36 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 8 | POLAND | 428.68 |
|  | 17 | RUSSIA | ISRAEL | 37 | 47 | 7.20 | 12.80 | 9 | NORWAY | 425.12 |
| - | 18 | CROATIA | SCOTLAND | 38 | 25 | 13.52 | 6.48 |  |  |  |
|  | 19 | GREECE | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 10 | ENGLAND | 424.89 |
|  | 1 | ROMANIA | SWITZERLAND | 31 | 50 | 5.20 | 14.80 | 11 | HUNGARY | 421.14 |
|  | 2 | LATVIA | GEORGIA | 74 | 13 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 12 | IRELAND | 419.83 |
|  | 3 | POLAND | HUNGARY | 90 | 39 | 19.25 | 0.75 | 13 | ISRAEL | 417.23 |
|  | 4 | GERMANY | FRANCE | 22 | 89 | 0.00 | 20.00 |  | ISRAEL | 417.23 |
|  | 5 | ICELAND | TURKEY | 78 | 43 | 17.45 | 2.55 | 14 | ICELAND | 394.29 |
|  | 6 | FINLAND | AUSTRIA | 26 | 48 | 4.62 | 15.38 | 15 | FINLAND | 389.50 |
|  | 7 | SERBIA | BELARUS | 70 | 7 | 20.00 | 0.00 |  | FINLAND | 389.50 |
|  | 8 | NETHERLANDS | SWEDEN | 24 | 26 | 9.39 | 10.61 | 16 | DENMARK | 381.59 |
|  | 9 | ESTONIA | IRELAND | 22 | 52 | 3.27 | 16.73 | 17 | BELGIUM | 381.43 |
| 10 | 10 | GREECE | WALES | 26 | 60 | 2.69 | 17.31 |  | SPAIN |  |
| ค | 11 | CZECH REPUBLIC | FAROE ISLANDS | 73 | 5 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 18 | SPAIN | 378.82 |
|  | 12 | ENGLAND | ITALY | 15 | 62 | 1.13 | 18.87 | 19 | SERBIA | 377.26 |
|  | 14 | CRUSIA | NORWAY | 22 53 | 29 43 | 6.97 12.80 | 18.03 7.20 | 20 | AUSTRIA | 374.56 |
| $\square$ | 15 | CYPRUS | UKRAINE | 36 | 62 | 3.91 | 16.09 | 21 | ESTONIA | 368.44 |
|  | 16 | ISRAEL | BULGARIA | 54 | 47 | 12.03 | 7.97 | 22 |  |  |
|  | 17 | DENMARK | MONACO | 34 | 32 | 10.61 | 9.39 | 22 | TURKEY | 359.88 |
|  | 18 | PORTUGAL | BELGIUM | 30 | 24 | 11.76 | 8.24 | 23 | CROATIA | 359.34 |
| [1 | 19 | SCOTLAND | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 24 | GREECE | 355.21 |
|  | 1 | ROMANIA | LATVIA | 39 | 22 | 14.39 | 5.61 | 25 | CZECH REP. | 344.65 |
|  | 2 | GERMANY | ICELAND | 22 | 38 | 5.82 | 14.18 | 26 | SWITZERLAND | 342.90 |
|  | 3 | FINLAND | SERBIA | 41 | 34 | 12.03 | 7.97 | 26 | SWITZERLAND | 342.90 |
|  | 4 | NETHERLANDS | POLAND | 46 | 24 | 15.38 | 4.62 | 27 | PORTUGAL | 339.85 |
|  | 5 | ESTONIA | SWITZERLAND | 46 | 24 | 15.38 | 4.62 | 27 | PORTUGAL |  |
|  | 6 | GREECE | HUNGARY | 63 | 25 | 17.85 | 2.15 | 28 | ROMANIA | 326.75 |
|  | 7 | CZECH REPUBLIC | GEORGIA | 48 | 45 | 10.91 | 9.09 | 29 | RUSSIA | 320.96 |
|  | 8 | ENGLAND | FRANCE | 54 | 36 | 14.60 | 5.40 |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | RUSSIA | TURKEY | 38 | 60 | 4.62 | 15.38 | 30 | UKRAINE | 320.49 |
|  | 10 | CROATIA | AUSTRIA | 49 | 68 | 5.20 | 14.80 | 31 | BELARUS | 304.17 |
| $\cdots$ | 11 | CYPRUS | BELARUS | 65 | 18 | 18.87 | 1.13 | 32 | LATVIA | 295.90 |
|  | 13 | ISRAEL DENMARK | SWEDEN | 4 47 | 49 28 | 0.34 14.80 | 18.66 5.20 | 33 | WALES | 289.70 |
|  | 14 | PORTUGAL | WALES | 40 | 22 | 14.60 | 2.40 |  |  |  |
| , | 15 | SCOTLAND | FAROE ISLANDS | 23 | 31 | 7.71 | 12.29 | 34 | FAROE ISLAND | S279.46 |
|  | 16 | BULGARIA | ITALY | 26 | 42 | 5.82 | 14.18 | 35 | SCOTLAND | 259.23 |
|  | 17 | MONACO | NORWAY | 40 | 22 | 14.60 | 5.40 | 36 | GEORGIA | 240.64 |
|  | 18 | BELGIUM | SPAIN | 97 | 12 | 20.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| - | 19 | UKRAINE | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 37 | CYPRUS | 211.99 |

## DUPLIMATEE AND CARDS

The Duplimates used for the duplication during the championship are already sold omb

You can either pre-order a new Duplimate for delivery at a special price during the World Championships in Wroclaw or buy en older modelfor EUR 1280 here in Budapest. Contack damerstens af the bridge stall in the Reception area or drop a iline to per@amersten.com.

The [hew] Bodapest cards that yonsfind in the boards will be sold after usage tor EUR 136 per 200 decks.


## RESULTS WOMEN TEAMS

|  | 21 | SERBIA | FRANCE | 26 | 53 | 3.74 | 16.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22 | NETHERLANDS | RUSSIA | 32 | 40 | 7.71 | 12.29 |
|  | 23 | NORWAY | SCOTLAND | 59 | 12 | 18.87 | 1.13 |
|  | 24 | BULGARIA | SAN MARINO | 64 | 28 | 17.59 | 2.41 |
| N | 25 | ENGLAND | ESTONIA | 70 | 18 | 19.34 | 0.66 |
| - | 26 | ISRAEL | POLAND | 33 | 42 | 7.45 | 12.55 |
|  | 27 | GREECE | DENMARK | 40 | 37 | 10.91 | 9.09 |
| $Z$ | 28 | FINLAND | SPAIN | 21 | 41 | 5.00 | 15.00 |
|  | 29 | SWEDEN | PORTUGAL | 82 | 35 | 18.87 | 1.13 |
|  | 30 | GERMANY | ITALY | 12 | 72 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| $\checkmark$ | 31 | IRELAND | TURKEY | 46 | 37 | 12.15 | 6.85 |
| (1) | 32 | HUNGARY | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 |
|  | 21 | FRANCE | ITALY | 50 | 39 | 13.04 | 6.96 |
|  | 22 | RUSSIA | TURKEY | 68 | 34 | 17.31 | 2.69 |
|  | 23 | SAN MARINO | PORTUGAL | 15 | 59 | 1.45 | 18.55 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 24 | POLAND | SWEDEN | 21 | 41 | 5.00 | 15.00 |
|  | 25 | DENMARK | GERMANY | 24 | 56 | 2.97 | 17.03 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 26 | SPAIN | IRELAND | 48 | 64 | 5.82 | 14.18 |
|  | 27 | ESTONIA | HUNGARY | 23 | 30 | 7.97 | 12.03 |
| 5 | 28 | NETHERLANDS | ENGLAND | 16 | 49 | 2.83 | 17.17 |
|  | 29 | NORWAY | ISRAEL | 33 | 62 | 3.42 | 16.58 |
|  | 30 | BULGARIA | GREECE | 47 | 32 | 13.97 | 6.03 |
|  | 31 | SERBIA | FINLAND | 37 | 49 | 6.72 | 13.28 |
| $\underline{\square}$ | 32 | SCOTLAND | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 |
|  | 21 | FRANCE | NETHERLANDS | 46 | 43 | 10.91 | 9.09 |
|  | 22 | RUSSIA | NORWAY | 12 | 27 | 6.03 | 13.97 |
|  | 23 | SCOTLAND | BULGARIA | 24 | 49 | 4.08 | 15.92 |
|  | 24 | SAN MARINO | SERBIA | 29 | 81 | 0.66 | 19.34 |
| N | 25 | ENGLAND | POLAND | 42 | 37 | 11.48 | 8.52 |
|  | 26 | ISRAEL | DENMARK | 18 | 40 | 4.62 | 15.38 |
|  | 27 | GREECE | SPAIN | 51 | 34 | 14.39 | 5.61 |
| 7 | 28 | FINLAND | ESTONIA | 46 | 28 | 14.60 | 5.40 |
|  | 29 | SWEDEN | ITALY | 29 | 30 | 9.69 | 10.31 |
|  | 30 | GERMANY | TURKEY | 49 | 39 | 12.80 | 7.20 |
|  | 31 | HUNGARY | PORTUGAL | 34 | 47 | 6.48 | 13.52 |
| -1 | 32 | IRELAND | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 |

FINAL RANKING

| 1 | ENGLAND | 313.35 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | FRANCE | 308.78 |
| 3 | POLAND | 303.09 |
| 4 | RUSSIA | 284.48 |
| 5 | ISRAEL | 278.91 |
| 6 | NETHERLANDS275.73 |  |
| 7 | SWEDEN | 272.17 |
| 8 | ITALY | 267.08 |
| 9 | DENMARK | 258.93 |
| 10 | NORWAY | 257.71 |
| 11 | GERMANY | 248.40 |
| 12 | TURKEY | 240.22 |
| 13 | FINLAND | 221.98 |
| 14 | HUNGARY | 213.82 |
| 15 | SERBIA | 205.21 |
| 16 | SCOTLAND | 204.68 |
| 17 | BULGARIA | 200.85 |
| 18 | PORTUGAL | 189.17 |
| 19 | SPAIN | 188.36 |
| 20 | GREECE | 178.11 |
| 21 | ESTONIA | 175.15 |
| 22 | IRELAND | 149.16 |
| 23 | SAN MARINO | 85.16 |

## RESULTS SENIORS TEAMS



## FINAL RANKING

| 1 | ISRAEL | 289.67 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | SWEDEN | 287.58 |
| 3 | POLAND | 277.32 |
| 4 | TURKEY | 277.21 |
| 5 | ITALY | 270.57 |
| 6 | FRANCE | 264.58 |
| 7 | AUSTRIA | 264.12 |
| 8 | IRELAND | 258.79 |
| 9 | NETHERLANDS249.03 |  |
| 10 | ENGLAND | 248.59 |
| 11 | DENMARK | 246.43 |
| 12 | GERMANY | 242.75 |
| 13 | NORWAY | 237.25 |
| 14 | BELGIUM | 236.44 |
| 15 | BULGARIA | 234.11 |
| 16 | FINLAND | 224.70 |
| 17 | HUNGARY | 223.44 |
| 18 | ROMANIA | 206.42 |
| 19 | SCOTLAND | 178.01 |
| 20 | PORTUGAL | 176.97 |
| 21 | WALES | 176.50 |
| 22 | SPAIN | 172.70 |
| 23 | ESTONIA | 161.36 |
| 24 | SERBIA | 97.46 |



EILAT-ISRAEL


Tournament Program
Mixed Pairs
November 10, 11
M.P. Pairs

November 12
National Simultaneous
November 13
IMP Pairs
November 14, 15
Open Pairs
November 16,17,18
Teams November 19
Participants from All Over the World Including European and World Champions.
Entrance Fee
€ 16 per session.
Total Prize Money in Excess of $\varepsilon 25,000$
Special Accommodation Packages
Daily Social Events
Perfect Weather $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Bridge
ISRAEL BRIDGE
FEDERATION
I S R O T E L


Further information and registration:
Organizing Committee: David \& Alon Birman, 50 Pinkas St., Tel Aviv, Israel Tel.: +972-3-6058355, +972-50-6698655, Email: birmand @inter.net.il, www.bridgeredsea.com $\square$

Richiesto il Patrocinio di

$8^{\text {th }}$ European Open Championships 10-24 June 20175424

Montecatini Terme, Italy

