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## 

Team Sagg - Per Aronsen, Olve Graurak, Petter Goldenheim, Bjørn Sigurd Tornberg Simonsen


Team China Orange - Jiaojing Wang (cpt), Wang Nan, Shan Xingxing, Chen Yiyi, Zhao Bing, Liu Jing, Wang Wei


Teams Sorvoll \& Notteroy - Jostein Sorvoll, Erik Bolviken, Sverre Koch, Tormod Clemetsen, Per Bryde Sundseth, Arvid Lorentzen, Knut Kjernsrod, Tor Walle, Sten Bjertnes


Teams Netherlands \& Cameron - Maruša Baša, Carla Arnolds, Vanessa Vos, Anneke Simons, Gail Cameron, Alex Van Reenen (cpt), Hans Kelder (coach), Jet Pasman, Wietske Van Zwol, Catherine Seale

## OPEN/WOMEN/PAIRS FORMAT

## OPEN PAIRS FORMAT

After the qualification stage (100 boards) the field will be divided into Semifinals A and B.
A total of 100 pairs will qualify to Semifinal A and the rest to Semifinal $B$, both to be played on Thursday.
The pairs coming from the Final of the Open Teams will drop in to Semifinal A.
There will be a linear carry-over from the Qualification to the Semifinals.

- Semifinal A: the top ranked pair will get 200 matchpoints (roughly two tops), the last ranked pair 0 mp , the other pairs will get a proportional amount of mp . The pairs which drop in will get a carry-over equal to the 46th placed pair.
- Semifinal B: the top ranked pair will get 100


## WOMEN PAIRS FORMAT

After today's qualification stage ( 50 boards on Tuesday) the field will be divided in Semifinals A and $B$.
A total of 24 Pairs will qualify to Semifinal A and 25 to Semifinal B.
The pairs coming from the Final of the Women Teams (4) will drop in to Semifinal A.
There will be a linear carry-over from the Qualification to the Semifinals.

- Semifinal A: the top ranked pair will get 22 mp , the last ranked pair 0 mp , the other pairs will get a proportional amount of mp.
- Semifinal B: the top ranked pair will get 12 mp , the last ranked pair 0 mp , the other pairs will get a proportional amount of mp .


## SENIOR PAIRS FORMAT

After today's qualification stage ( 50 boards on Tuesday) the field will be divided in Semifinals A and B .
A total of 26 Pairs will qualify to Semifinal A and 16 to Semifinal B.
There will be no pairs coming from the Final of the Seniors Teams.
There will be a linear carry-over from the Qualification to the Semifinals.

- Semifinal A: the top ranked pair will get 24 mp , the last ranked pair 0 mp , the other pairs will get a proportional amount of mp .
- Semifinal B: the top ranked pair will get 7 mp , the last ranked pair 0 mp , the other pairs will get a proportional amount of mp .
mp (roughly one top), the last ranked pair 0 mp , the other pairs will get a proportional amount of mp .
46 Pairs from Semifinal A and 6 from Semifinal B will qualify to the Final to be played on Friday and Saturday.
There will be a linear carry-over from the Semifinals to the Final.
- Semifinal A: the top ranked pair will get 90 mp (roughly two tops), the 46th will get 0 mp , the other pairs will get a proportional amount of mp.
- Semifinal B: the top ranked pair will get the same amount of $m p$ as the 26th ranked pair from Semifinal A. The 6th will get 0 mp 30th, the other pairs will get a proportional amount of mp .

11 Pairs from Semifinal A and 3 from Semifinal B will qualify to the Final.
There will be a linear carry-over from the Semifinals to the Final.

- Semifinal A: the top ranked pair will get 24 mp , the 12 th will get 0 mp , the other pairs will get a proportional amount of mp .
- Semifinal B: the top ranked pair will get the same amount of mp as the 8th of Semifinal A; the second ranked will get the same amount of mp as the 10th ranked of Semifinal A; the third ranked will get 0 mp .

> STARTING TIMES OF THE FIVE SESSIONS (SAME AS TODAY)
> $\begin{array}{lllll}10.00 & 11.45 & 14.30 & 16.15 & 18.00\end{array}$

12 Pairs from Semifinal A and 2 from Semifinal B will qualify to the Final.
There will be a linear carry-over from the Semifinals to the Final.

- Semifinal A: the top ranked pair will get 24 mp , the 12 th will get 0 mp , the other pairs will get a proportional amount of mp .
- Semifinal B: the top ranked pair will get the same amount of mp as the 9th of Semifinal A; the second will get 0 mp .

STARTING TIMES OF THE FIVE SESSIONS (SAME AS TODAY)
$\begin{array}{lllll}10.00 & 11.45 & 14.30 & 16.15 & 18.00\end{array}$

## PRESS CONFERENCE ON FRIDAY

## by European Bridge League

## The President of the EBL

will host a press conference on Friday 10th at 15.00 p.m.
in the Press Room of the Championship's Venue.
Together with Yves Aubry, Jan Kamras, Patrick Jourdain, Jostein Sørvoll, Inger Hjellemarken will jointly chair the conference and answer questions from journalists.

A cocktail will be served.


FRIDAY JULY 10TH, 3.00 P.M. - PRESS CONFERENCE - PRESS ROOM


EBL \& FMB 2016 FEBRUARY 8-14
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EBL 2017
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## DON'T LET THEM SCREAM

Edvard Munch was a Norwegian painter and printmaker whose intensely evocative treatment of psychological themes built upon some of the main tenets of late 19th-century Symbolism and greatly influenced German Expressionism in the early 20th century. One of his famous works is The Scream.
It might not immediately be apparent what this has to do with bridge, but I am guessing that a fair percentage of you will have been in the situation where you go to score up a close knockout match. Before you have finished you hear a scream from another part of the building and you already know the outcome without any further addition being required.
Both teams would have that in mind as the final of the Women's Teams got under way.

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.
A 754
© K 97
$\diamond$ A J 108
\& 853


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wang | McCallum | Liu | Baker |
|  | Pass | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| 2NT | Pass | 3\&** | Pass |
| $3 \diamond^{*}$ | Dble | 3NT | All Pass |

2^ NV: 0-10, 5 card suit, any quality or shape; VUL: 5-10, weak suit ok
3\% Puppet Stayman
$3 \diamond$ No five card major


North led the four of spades and declarer won with the king, cashed the ace of hearts and followed it with the jack of clubs. South took the ace and played a second spade, declarer winning with the ace, playing a club to the king and a heart for the jack, queen and king. North exited with a spade and declarer won and played a diamond. North took the ace and exited with a diamond and declarer was +430 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Michielsen | Shan | Wortel | Wang |
|  |  | $1 \boldsymbol{\kappa}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

1\% 2+\% 11-21 HCP (could be weaker, depending on shape/ position) Can be any balanced hand without 5M
$1 \diamond 4+\diamond$
South led the five of diamonds and North took the ace and returned the jack. Declarer won with the king and played a heart to the queen. North took the king and returned the ten and South's ruff and the ace of clubs meant one down and a fast 10 IMP start for China Orange.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
A K 87
$\checkmark 10875$
$\diamond$ KQJ4
\& Q J


Open Room

| West | North | East |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| McCallum | Liu | South <br> Baker |  |
| Pass |  |  | $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |
|  | Pass | 3 | All Pass |

South $3 \%$ bid is one that most of the Editors thoroughly approve of - don't be an easy opponent.
I'm not sure $3 \mathbf{1}$ is the right approach with the East cards - there are too many hands opposite as here, that will give you a play for game when partner will pass.
South led the ten of clubs and declarer won with the ace and played the ace and queen of spades. North won and returned the queen of diamonds, switching to the five of hearts when it was ducked. Declarer won with the ace, played three rounds of trumps, a diamond to the ace, cashed the ace of clubs and took the heart finesse. When that lost she was +140 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Michielsen | North <br> Shan | East <br> Wortel | South <br> Wang <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

The gentler auction gave EW all the room they needed.
North led the king of diamonds and when it held she switched to the queen of clubs. Declarer won perforce in dummy and played ace of spades, queen of spades. With the queen of hearts falling she had eleven tricks, +660 and 11 IMPs to Baker.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.



Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wang | McCallum | Liu | Baker |
| $1 \&$ | $1 \Omega$ | $2 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | $3 \Omega^{*}$ |
| $4 \%$ | All Pass |  |  |

In this auction EW need to have a firm agreement about the meaning of a double and 3 at West's second turn. Perhaps a double should imply more in the way of high cards, but 3 looks reasonable here - there is no real danger that partner will raise as they did not make a negative double over $1 \Omega$.
Declarer lost a heart and a diamond, +150 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michielsen | Shan | Wortel | Wang |
| 19\%* | 10 | 14* | 20 |
| $3 \%$ | 30 | 3NT | All Pass |

South led the two of hearts and North won with the king and returned the four. Declarer put up the queen and played the jack of clubs to the ace, claiming +630 when the suit obliged, 10 more IMPs for Baker.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- J862
$\checkmark$ AJ7543
$\diamond 53$
of 3


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wang | McCallum | Liu | Baker |
|  | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $2 \Omega$ | Pass | 2NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

With the clubs well held and a powerful holding in diamonds facing an opening bid West should surely have doubled 2NT.
She led the seven of spades and East won with the king and switched to the ten of diamonds, West ducking when declarer played the king.
The ace of clubs and a club saw East win with the jack and playa diamond, West winning with the seven and continuing with the ace and nine of diamonds. Declarer won and played a club and West took that with the ten, cashed the jack of diamonds and the king of clubs and exited with a heart. The ace of hearts was declarer's last trick, four down -400.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Michielsen | Shan | Wortel | Wang |
|  | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \Omega$ | Pass | $3 \%$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

West did not hesitate to pull the trigger here.
She led the seven of spades and East won with the king and switched to the two of diamonds (the ten looks natural, but the two is better here, as long as partner plays you for the ten) for the king and ace. West switched to the six of hearts and declarer put up dummy's ace pitching a diamond and now does best to play a club.
However, she ruffed a heart, cashed the queen of diamonds and ruffed a diamond. East overruffed, cashed the ace of spades, and gave West a spade ruff. West still had two trump tricks to come.

Three down,-800 and 9 IMPs to Baker.
Board 6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West <br> Wang | North <br> McCallum | East <br> Liu | South <br> Baker |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $1 ヵ$ | $2 \checkmark$ | Dble* | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

Dble Support double
West's gratuitous overbid of 2NT turned out badly - on a good day North would have held the king of diamonds.
The play record for this deal is missing, but I am pretty confident that North led the queen of hearts and when South came in with the king of diamonds she played back a heart, North cashing out for three down and +800 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Michielsen | Shan | Wortel <br> Sang | Wans |
| $1 \Omega^{*}$ |  | Dble | $1 \boldsymbol{*}^{*}$ |

North led the king of spades and switched to the nine of clubs. Declarer won with the king, ran the jack of diamonds and when it held continued with a diamond to the ten, North discarding a club. South won and returned the eight of hearts, covered by the ten and jack and when North tried exiting with the jack of spades declarer won and cashed out for +120 and 14 IMPs.

Things were looking bleak for China Orange at this point, but they recovered 10 IMPs on the next two boards.

Board 9. Dealer North. EW Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wang | McCallum | Liu | Baker |
|  | 2NT* | 38 | $4 \%$ |
| Pass | 44* | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | 5\% | All Pass |  |

or strong; UF: 9-12 good suits
4a Cue bid
West led the three of clubs and declarer put up the king (thank you Rabbi) cashed the queen of clubs, came to hand to with a heart and played a diamond to the ten. When that held she cross ruffed, setting up a diamond for +420 .

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Michielsen | Shan | Wortel | Wang |
|  | $1 \%$ | $1 \Omega$ | Dble* |
| Pass | $2 \%$ | All Pass |  |

Declarer won the lead of the king of hearts in dummy, played a diamond to the queen, cashed the ace of trumps, took a second round and cross ruffed. She should have taken twelve tricks, but it seems one got away, +150 giving Baker 7 IMPs.



Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.


Open Room

| West | North <br> McCallum | East <br> Liu | South <br> Baker |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | $5 \diamond$ | $5 \circlearrowleft$ |

To be reasonably sure of beating $5 \checkmark$ West needs to lead a trump, but she started with the ten of diamonds.
Declarer would have been in clover if she had put up dummy's king, but who would underlead an ace against a five level contract?
She ruffed, drew trumps and played a spade, winning with the king when West correctly withheld the ace.
Declarer continued with the nine of clubs and when East put up the king she took the ace and played a spade. East won with the ace and returned a diamond and declarer ruffed, ruffed a spade and played a club. When East put up the queen, South's ten became the eleventh trick, +450 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East <br> Michielsen | South <br> Shan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wortel | Wang |  |  |
| $\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { Dble } & 3 \diamond^{*} & \text { Dble } \\ \text { All Pass } & & \\ \hline \Omega\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |  |

$4 \checkmark$ was in no danger and when the defenders made a similar mistake in the club suit declarer was +450 for a flat board.

Board 12. Dealer West. NS Vul.
a J 85
$\checkmark 93$
$\diamond$ J 6
\& A Q 10764
A Q 76
$\checkmark$ KQ 86
$\diamond$ AK 1042
\& J


A K 10432
$\checkmark$ J 1042
$\diamond 97$
\& K 3
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wang | McCallum | Liu | Baker |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 1NT | All Pass |

South led the two of spades and declarer won with the queen and ran the diamonds. South let a heart go so declarer was +210 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Michielsen | Shan | Wortel | Wang |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 3\&* | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

## 3\& Limit raise

With North on lead a club quickly scuppered the contract, -100 and 7 IMPs to China Orange.


Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.
A AKQ6
$\checkmark 973$
$\diamond$ AK 5
\& Q 42


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wang | McCallum | Liu | Baker |
|  |  | $1 \propto$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 N T$ | Dble | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | $3 \&$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

## $2 \diamond$ Transfer

Clearly East thought her partner had a much stronger hand. Could Baker torpedo the contract?
Our considered opinion is that a spade feels right but on paper everything is always cystal clear.
WhenSouth led a heart, East'soptimismwas rewarded. She scored three hearts and six clubs for +550 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Michielsen | Shan | Wortel | Wang |
|  |  | $1 \& *$ | Pass |
| 14* | $1 N T$ | $3 \%$ | All Pass |

1^ Balanced or $\diamond$ (no 4card $M$ unless FG with longer $\diamond$ )

South led the seven of diamonds and North won and played the queen of spades. When South followed with the two she cashed two more tricks in the suit then played the ace of diamonds and a diamonds. Declarer ruffed with the jack of clubs, crossed to dummy by overtaking the queen of hearts and took a club finesse. One down, -50 and 12 IMPs to Baker.

Baker won the set 53-40. China Orange, having mostly been outbid, had done well to restrict their deficit to just 13 IMPs, but would surely have to up their game to be in with a chance of avoiding the scream.
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## KHYUPPENEN vs TEAM ORANGE RED

by John Carruthers

Open Teams, Round of 16

The Dutch are in Tromsø in force. Team Orange has three Open teams, RED, WHITE and BLUE, after the colours of the Dutch flag and NETHERLANDS Women leads the way in the Women's Championship. ORANGE WHITE finished first in the Swiss Qualifying in the Open Teams, while TEAM ORANGE RED finished eighth. We decided to track the young TEAM ORANGE RED against KHIUPPENEN which, by the way, includes De Wijs/Muller, one of four top Dutch pairs in other teams.

Board 1. Dealer North. Neither Vul.

- A 108
$\diamond$ AK Q 86
\& Q 1098


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ticha | Matushko | Ritmeijer | Sterkin |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \circlearrowleft$ |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ |
| $4 \uparrow$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

On a good day, you'd make four spades with trumps 3-2 and bringing in the clubs. However, four hearts warned that this was not to be. Indeed, Magdalena Ticha lost the obvious five tricks for -300.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | V.d.Bos | Muller | V.Lankueld |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| $4 \Delta$ | Dble | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |

All Pass

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- AKJ5 3
$\checkmark 6$
$\diamond$ A Q 1074
\& 104


Open Room

| West <br> Ticha | North <br> Matushko | East <br> Ritmeijer | South <br> Sterkin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \circlearrowleft$ |  |  | $1 \uparrow$ |
| $3 \Omega$ | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |

With two losing hearts, Sterkin was not inclined to carry on beyond four spades. When the diamonds proved to be vile, he breathed a sigh of relief and scored up +450 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South <br> De Wijs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V.d.Bos | Muller | V.Lankveld <br> 1NT |  |
| $3 』$ | $3 \uparrow$ |  | Pass | 4\&



The young Dutchmen valued their hands more accurately, to their dismay when De Wijs' Lightner Double beat them a trick for -100 and a loss of 11 IMPs.

Both sides made three no trump, then...

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
ค J 9642
๑ 86
$\diamond$ Q 432
\& A 2

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ticha | Matushko | Ritmeijer | Sterkin |
|  | Pass | $1 \Omega$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Dble | Pass | $2 \Omega$ | $3 \%$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Having bid only two hearts opposite a partner who had shown some values, Ritmeijer might have balanced with a double when three clubs came around to him. Whether that would have got his side to game or not is quite another matter. As it was, Sterkin was well within himself in bidding three clubs; the heart ruff held him to nine tricks for +110 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | V.d.Bos | Muller | V.Lankveld |
|  | Pass | $1 \Omega$ | $4 \propto 4$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| $4 \mathbf{4}$ | All Pass |  |  |

With a club loser (or more), a guess for the diamond queen and 5-0 trumps, four spades was in jeopardy. Van den Bos led the ace of clubs and another to tap the dummy. De Wijs got the bad news when he cashed the ace of spades. He played off the ace of hearts and ruffed another one low, ruffed a club with the queen of spades (diamond from North), then played the ace, king and a third diamond. Poor North was in and had nothing but trumps remaining. Declarer won the forced return with his eight and led the thirteenth diamond. North had to ruff that and lead into declarer's kingten of spades for +420 and 10 more IMPs. Without doing too much wrong, RED had been outscored 30 to 0 .

[^0]One more IMP went to KHIUPENNEN and 3 IMPs to RED over the next four boards, but then Van den Bos and Van Lankveld missed what should have been an easy vulnerable game for them to bid. That lost them 10 IMPs to trail 40-3 with four boards remaining. This was the last swing of the set:

Board 11. Dealer South. Neither Vul.
A 976
$\bigcirc 1053$
$\diamond$ A J 1087
\& 105
A K J 103
$\checkmark$ Q 42
$\diamond$ K 653
\& AK


## - -

© K 76
$\diamond$ Q 94
\& QJ98732
ค A Q 8542
$\checkmark$ AJ 98
$\diamond 2$
\& 64
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ticha | Matushko | Ritmeijer | Sterkin |

Whether East was going to make three notrump or not was dependent on South's defence. Assuming North would lead a spade, South would have had to win with his ace and lead a diamond to force out the queen, the entry to dummy's clubs. It was a very iffy proposition for South to go on to four spades and the Dutch made him pay, collecting three spade tricks, one heart trick and two club tricks for +500 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | V.d.Bos | Muller | V.Lankueld |
| 1NT | $2 \uparrow$ | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | $5 \propto$ | All Pass |

## * Lebensohl

Muller did not put South to the test in three notrump. When he bid five clubs over South's re-raise to three spades, he was one off at trick three: diamond to the ace, diamond ruff, ace of hearts. That +50 to go with their +500 at the other table for +11 IMPs was TEAM ORANGE RED's only ray of sunshine. The last three boards were flat, leaving the score KHIUPPENEN 40 TEAM ORANGE RED 14.


## ROSENTHAL vs DE BOTTON

by Jos Jacobs

Open Teams, Round of 16, Segment two
After six boards of the first segment, De Botton had taken a 39-4 lead. Over the next eight boards, Rosenthal had scored 50 unanswered IMPs to take a halftime lead of 54-39. They extended this lead on the very first board of the second segment:

Board 15. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
A A 986
ऽ K 9876
$\diamond$ A 7
\& 52

@ K J
$\diamond$ A Q 42
$\diamond$ K 542
$\&$ A Q 8

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi & 1052 \\
\diamond & J 1053 \\
\diamond & 93 \\
\& & J 1093
\end{array}
$$

Open Room

| West | North | East <br> Malinowski | South <br> Bas DrijuerDe BottonBrink |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass |  |  |  |  |

Yes, a take-out double of $1 \circlearrowleft$ would usually show four spades but this need not always be the case. Had West decided to bid $3 \triangle$ first, the partnership would in all probability have landed safely in 3NT or $5 \diamond$. $4 \uparrow$ might well have been playable on a 4-3 fit but the actual $4-2$ fit really was asking too much from the bridge gods. Down two, Rosenthal +100 .

Please note that Brink's raise to $2 \circlearrowleft$ caused all the trouble for poor West...

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South <br> Willenken |
| Sandquist | Bilde | Townsend <br> Pass |  |
| Pass | $1 \Omega$ | Dble | Pass |
| 2 $\boldsymbol{1 \Omega}$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

At the other table, Bilde, too, doubled $1 \checkmark$ but
when Townsend did not produce a raise, (perhaps influenced by a four-card major style) Willenken could make a natural bid first and then happily pass 3NT. Rosenthal +400 and 11 IMPs, since there were nine top tricks on a heart lead after conceding to the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$.

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.
4 K 10542
$\checkmark$ KQ 3
$\diamond$ Q 1063
\& 7


On the next board, Drijver duly respected partner's minimum overcall:

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Malinowski | Bas Drijuer De Botton | Brink |  |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \diamond$ |
| Dble | $2 \leftrightarrow(\circlearrowleft)$ | Pass | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass

On a diamond lead and continuation, Brink easily made nine tricks but no more than that.
On passive defence, and also on a trump lead and continuation, nine tricks are the maximum.
Rosenthal +140 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Willenken | Sandquist | Bilde | Townsend |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 2NT |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

When Townsend showed his rounded two-suiter by overcalling 2 NT , Sandqvist had an automatic $4 \Omega$ bid. This should have resulted in another swing to Rosenthal but when East started off by cashing his two aces and continuing a spade,
declarer was in with a chance. He won dummy's $\$ \mathrm{Q}$ and led a heart to his king. Next came three rounds of clubs, declarer finessing dummy's queen successfully, then ruffing the third round in hand. The A K came next, East throwing a diamond, and a spade was ruffed in dummy to set up the fifth spade as a winner. Sandqvist then ruffed a club with his $\checkmark$ Q, ruffed a diamond in dummy and continued a low heart to bring down West's now blank ace. Dummy's $\bigcirc \mathrm{J}$ thus scored the gamegoing trick. De Botton a surprise +420 and 7 IMPs back to them.

On the next board, De Botton went overboard once Malinowski suggested some spade support:

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

- J 4
$\checkmark$ J 105
$\diamond$ KJ 854
\& 953

| ¢ 752 |  | A KQ10963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 97$ | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\bigcirc$ AQ843 |
| $\diamond 106$ | ${ }^{\text {W }}$ | $\diamond$ A Q |
| \& J 87642 |  | 0 - |
| 4 | A 8 |  |
| $\checkmark$ | K 62 |  |
| $\diamond$ | 9732 |  |
| 0 | A K Q 10 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Malinowski | Bas Drijver De BottonBrink |  |  |

The heart finesse was wrong anyway so it did not matter that dummy could not be reached both to take the heart finesse and ruff the third round, followed by the successful diamond finesse.

When the hearts broke $3-3$ and the trumps 2-2, the contract escaped for only one down.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Willenken | Sandquist | Bilde | Townsend |
|  | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | Dble |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | $3 \Omega$ | Pass |
| $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

No youthful optimism or whatever in the Closed Room, but a sound auction to the proper contract instead. Rosenthal an easy +420 but 11 more IMPs to them to lead by 30 now. The writing was beginning to appear on the wall for De Botton.

Well, one should not judge too quickly as this was board 19:

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

$$
\text { a J } 10864
$$

$\diamond$ K 984
$\diamond 6$
\& Q 86


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Malinowski |  |  |  | | Bas Drijver De BottonBrink |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1NT |

After a shaded and off-shape 10-12 1NT by Brink (but who cares green v. red), Drijver bid a natural 2 but De Botton would have none of it and simply thought it best to bid what she thought she could make. Right she was, as with the clubs good for five tricks and a welcome diamond stopper in dummy as well as an entry to take a finesse or two, she even made an overtrick when South, after cashing three top diamonds, returned a heart to dummy's queen. De Botton +630 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Willenken | North <br> Sandquist | East <br> Bilde | South <br> Townsend <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass Pass 2NT | Pass |  |  |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \triangle$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

In the mixed teams, there was a hand on which responder, opposite a strong 2 NT opener, settled for $4 \checkmark$ on a robust five-card suit and nothing much outside. On that deal, this happened to be the right thing to do, but this deal was different. South had an easy lead, so the contract was doomed
from the start. De Botton another +100 and 12 more IMPs to reduce the deficit to 18 .

De Botton handed back these IMPs on the next board:

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- AQ
$\bigcirc$ -
$\diamond$ KJ 1093
\& A Q J 1085


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Malinowski | Bas Drijuer De BottonBrink |  |  |
| Pass | $1 \propto$ | Pass | 10 |
| 14 | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \%$ |
| $3 \varnothing$ | $4 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $5 \%$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

This contract, reached after a natural sequence, as it turned out eventually, mainly depended on declarer managing the diamond position. So Drijver, after a spade lead, put up dummy's king and underplayed his queen, then led a low diamond to the jack and East's ace. With the $\diamond$ Q coming down next, he could only lose one more trick to the $\% \mathrm{~K}$. Just made, Rosenthal +750 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willenken | Sandquist | Bilde | Townsend |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | 10 |
| 24 | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |

Double-dummy, 3NT is a make. You duck the $\checkmark$ Q lead and win the spade continuation with dummy's ace. East is then given his $\& \mathrm{~K}$ and returns (best) a heart. You win the 3rd round of hearts (or, alternatively, a spade shift with your king in hand) and play a diamond to the jack. With the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ coming down doubleton, there are no further problems as East has no cards left in any of the majors.

At the table, it was a different story. $\checkmark \mathrm{Q}$ lead, ducked, and a spade to dummy's ace. Dummy continued the $\&$ QJ which both held. Next, he led the $\diamond 9$ which ran to West's queen. West returned a diamond to East's ace and when East returned the $\checkmark 10$, the contract could no longer be made. Declarer was forced to duck this and would still have to give up a club later. Rosenthal another +100 and 13 IMPs to them to lead by 31 with only eight boards left.

On the next board, De Botton once again hit back, but one could not call this a real bodyblow:

Board 21. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
A 1062
$\checkmark 93$
$\diamond$ A Q 1096
\& 743


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Malinowski | Bas Drijver De Botton Brink |  |  |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Dble |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \leftrightarrow$ | Dble |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Dble |

All Pass
For obvious reasons, Brink could not lead a trump so he tried the ऽK. Declarer won the ace and immediately led a low club to dummy's useful ten. Once again, Brink had to win this with his jack and again, he could not make the best return of a trump. He therefore cashed his AK and continued the $\checkmark$ Q which was ruffed by declarer. She next ruffed a club in dummy but then, rather than try the effect of the J , played a heart and ruffed it in hand, thus enabling North to shed his last club.
When declarer tried to ruff her last club next, North could overruff and was due still to come to three more trump tricks for down three. Rosenthal +500 .

At the other table, NS reached the more normal 3NT:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Willenken | Sandquist | Bilde | Townsend |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

2NT showed the two-suiter but when South made a further move, North's diamond stopper was more than good enough to try 3NT.

South led a diamond. Dummy went up with the ace and led a club to his jack. He went on to establish the suit when the $\% 10$ put in a welcome appearance, East winning his king and continuing the AQ . Declarer won the ace, cashed his remaining clubs and the $\boldsymbol{\$} \mathrm{K}$ and led a top heart to East's blank ace. With only diamonds left, East could do little better than cash his $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and continue the suit to dummy. As West was squeezed in the majors, an overtrick resulted.

Had East exited with a low diamond rather than cashing the king first, West would have been endplayed in spades to eventually lead a heart into declarer's tenace for the ninth trick.
De Botton thus scored +630 for a $4-I M P$ gain.



On the next board, Rosenthal, at their turn, did hit back strongly:

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
A A 2
๑K10865
$\diamond-$
\& K J 8532


Open Room

| West | North | East <br> Me | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Malinowski | Drijver | De BottonBrink |  |
|  |  | Pass | $1 N T$ |

1NT was 10-12 after which a natural/transfer auction developed, so the correct final contract was reached easily enough. When both rounded suits broke well, making 11 tricks proved no problem. Rosenthal +400 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Willenken | North <br> Sandquist | East <br> Bilde <br> Pass | South <br> Townsend <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When Sandquist opened $1 \bigcirc$ in 4 th position, clubs were never mentioned over the $2 \diamond$ response so the British came nowhere near the correct contract. 2NT duly made with an overtrick but scoring +150 only meant a further 6-IMP loss for De Botton.

Rosenthal were now 33 IMPs up as the next board arrived:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.
A KQ 8
$\checkmark$ K 9
$\diamond 54$
\& AKJ85 3
A J 1053
© Q 532
$\diamond$ AKQ 3
of 7

|  | A 96 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\checkmark$ AJ 864 |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\diamond$ J 98 |
|  | \& 1092 |
| A 742 |  |
| 107 |  |
| 10762 |  |
| Q 64 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Malinowski | North <br> Drijuer | East <br> De BottonBrink |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | South <br> Pass |  |
| $1 \Omega$ | $2 \&$ | $2 \Omega$ | $3 \&$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

As you can see, this contract would have gone five off on a diamond lead from East. But on the actual heart lead, declarer could run for home and actually emerged an overtrick. Rosenthal another +630 to put the match beyond reach for the opponents, presumably.

Even more so if you take into account that EW had a vulnerable game their way:

## Closed Room

| West <br> Willenken | North <br> Sandquist | East <br> Bilde | South <br> Townsend <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \diamond$ | $3 \%$ | All Pass |  |

$3 \%$ was strong so West's pass is understandable even though it could have proved costly. Not this time, however, with +630 from the other table already on the score sheet. Scoring +110 still cost De Botton 11 IMPs whereas conceding another 620 would have led to a 15 -IMP loss.
The final score in this match was 113-69 to Rosenthal.

## PLAY BRIDGE TONIGHT!

FIRST SOCIAL EVENING TOURNAMENT

AT HOTEL THE EDGE
START 21:30

## 15 BOARDS // 150 NOK PER PAIR




## Parkturneringen bytter navn til Jotun Bridge lagturnering

Det spilles Monrad lagturnering lørdag 26. og søndag 27. september med spillestart kl. 10.00 begge dager.

Lagturneringen spilles over 11 kamper á 8 spill. Avslutning søndag kl. 16.00.

Det tildeles forbundspoeng.

1. premie lagturnering er kr. 20.000 ved fulltegning.

Startkontingent: kr. 3.000 pr lag. Påmelding i
NBF's turneringsoversikt innen 21. september.

Startkontingent bes innbetalt til vår konto 2480.09.06519 innen denne dato.

Spillingen foregår i Bystyresalen i Rådhuset.
Det blir bankett lørdag kl. 20.00 med 3 retters meny.
I likhet med NBF har vi gjort avtale med Clarion Collection Hotels som i Sandefjord er Hotel Atlantic, Jernbanealleen 33.

## PRISER FOR OVERNATTING:

Enkeltrom kr. 920 pr. natt inkl. frokost
Dobbeltrom kr. 1080 pr. natt inkl. frokost

Prisen inkluderer også kaffe/te og frukt ved resepsjonen $24 / 7$, mulighet for å steke seg vafler mellom kl 15.00-18.00, samt kveldsmat fra kl 18.00-21.00.

Lørdag og søndag blir det anledning til å kjøpe mat og kaffe/mineralvann i Rådhuset.

## KONTAKTPERSONER

Leder SBK: Morten Bræendvang tlf: 48115 157, mortenb@sfjbb.net

Kasserer: Tor Fevang tlf: 91862 130,
fevtor@gmail.com
SE MER OM CLARION COLLECTION HOTEL ATLANTIC HER:
www.nordicchoicehotels.no/clarion-collection/ clarion-collection-hotel-atlantic/

www.sandefjordbk.org

MONACO vs NORALIA
by Ram Soffer

## Opem Teams Round of 16, Session 2

The team from Monaco, one of the great favourites in the Open Teams, already threatened to make an early exit when they were ranked 35th after seven rounds of the Swiss qualifier, but they finished with three big wins which took them up to 3rd place.
The next hurdle was Noralia, and as reported in yesterday's bulletin, Monaco were trailing 27:42 at half time.
The set of deals for the second session promised very tense bridge with big swings possible almost everywhere. The most remarkable match was VitasVinciguerra. Vitas who crushed their opponent 85:10 in the first session might have thought that the second session was a mere formality, but it was not to be. In the first 10 boards they were outscored 1:75!! All that remained was a slender lead of $86: 85$, but somehow Vitas hung on to it and won by 90:86.
The Monaco match was also close right into the final board. First of all Monaco wasted no time in erasing their opponents' lead.

Board 15. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
A A986

- K 9876
$\diamond 7$
\& 52


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nunes | Dyke | Fantoni | Howard |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 18 | Dble | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| 20* | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 40* | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

E/W are cold for 3NT and $5 \diamond$, but off-shape strong doubles often cause problems. Nunes overcame those problems by cue bidding $2 \Omega$. After 3NT he knew partner's type of hand but still hoped for a diamond slam. Eventually he discovered that two keycards were missing and stopped in a safe $5 \diamond$. Monaco +400 .

| West <br> Sueindal | North <br> Helness | East <br> RasmussenHelgemo <br> Pass |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \Omega$ | Dble | $2 \Omega$ |
| $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |  |

Here the auction was short but not sweet. Sveindal assumed his partner had four spades - wrong! Yet 44 on a 4-2 fit was not entirely hopeless, as declarer had only three sure losers. Beating the contract required N/S to attack hearts persistently, and Helness duly obliged.
The opening lead of $\checkmark 7$ was won by dummy's $\checkmark$ Q. Then Helness ducked both $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ and $\boldsymbol{\wedge} \mathrm{J}$ (he could have set it one more by taking the ace the second time), won $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and played another heart, ruffed by declarer $\boldsymbol{\$} 7$. Declarer cashed three minor tricks, but the third round of clubs was ruffed. After one more heart, declarer knew the fight for 10 tricks was lost, and the best he could do was to get a ninth trick by ruffing a heart with the $\mathbf{Q} \mathrm{Q}$. Monaco +50 and 10 IMPs.



Fantoni opened a weak NT, and South thought he didn't have enough to bid $2 \triangle$. It was North who reopened with $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, but his dummy was disappointing and he took only 6 tricks. Monaco +100 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sueindal | Helness | RasmussenHelgemo |  |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Dble | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | Dble | All Pass |  |

Sveindal/Rasmussen continued their bad start by overbidding to 3NT. Helness showed no mercy and doubled, but inaccurate defence let them somewhat off the hook.
Rasmussen won the $\mathbf{Q}$ lead with his ace. Then he took the right view in diamonds: $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and small to the nine. He tried a spade to nine, which lost to North's 10.
Helness switched to clubs. Helgemo won $\& Q$ and switched to a small heart. Helness won the $\backsim \mathrm{Q}$ but seemed to be unaware of the real situation in the suit. His small heart continuation was taken by dummy's ace, and then declarer cashed the rest of his diamond suit.
On the last diamond Helness should have discarded $๑ K$, leaving his partner's hand high. Instead he discarded a spade and was soon thrown in with the $৩ \mathrm{~K}$ to give dummy the last trick in spades. Thus declarer escaped for one down, a loss of 7 IMPs instead of 12 .

Sveindal/Rasmussen did a little better in the bidding on Board 17, stopping in 34 when cold for 11 tricks. Once again they were let off the hook when Fantunes didn't bid the game either.

It was pretty much the same story on board 18 when both Wests failed to find the killing club lead against 3NT - another push.
Then on board 20 both Souths failed to find the winning play in a tricky 3NT. That was the 4th push in succession despite plenty of opportunities for both sides. At this stage Monaco held a small lead of 44:42, but the next few boards were equally full of action.

Board 21. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- 1062
$\checkmark 93$
$\diamond$ A Q 1096
\& 743


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunes | Dyke | Fantoni | Howard |
|  | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Dble |

East opened $2 \diamond$ according to their system (5+ diamonds, 10-13 HCP). South had yet another big double, and North converted it to a penalty.
Despite North's great trumps, N/S need some very special defence to set the contract by more than 1 trick. At the table South won only his three obvious top tricks, and North's trumps were good enough for three more. +100 to N/S didn't seem to be an adequate score as it was possible to make a vulnerable game.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sueindal | Helness | RasmussenHelgemo |  |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 \&$ | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Helgemo's bidding was practical. First he described 5-5 in clubs and hearts, then he showed his spade fragment, of course indicating a strong hand. Eventually he became declarer in 3NT.
Sveindal found the good lead of a spade covered by 10-Q-A. Declarer's problem was of course the lack of any entry to dummy. He had to play a suit from his hand in trick 2, and
his unfortunate choice was the $৩ \mathrm{~K}$. When East won his ace and continued spades, declarer was helpless because he couldn't give West the lead. From this point Helgemo did well to go only one down. Another +100 and 5 IMPs to Noralia.
Had South started with clubs, there was no way to beat the contract. If East continues spades upon winning the $\& \mathrm{~K}$, he will eventually be endplayed with the $\checkmark \mathrm{A}$ when all his remaining cards are diamonds.
A better defence is to win $\& \mathrm{~K}$, cash $\odot \mathrm{A}$ and exit with a spade. In this case Helgemo would have to read the position and endplay West with a spade after cashing his clubs (West would be forced to discard his diamonds) so that West must play hearts into declarer's ○KQ10.

Two boards later Monaco's hopes were dealt a decisive blow:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

- KQ 8
$\checkmark$ K 9
$\diamond 54$
\& AKJ 853


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunes | Dyke | Fantoni | Howard |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1NT | Dble | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| 2 | All Pass |  |  |

As the cards lie, $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ can easily make $4 \checkmark$ with only 18 HCP between them. Nunes opened 1NT according to system ( $11-14 \mathrm{HCP}$, no 5 card suit) and over the double Fantoni transferred to hearts (or so he thought). At this point one could expect a result of -170 or -620 , but evidently there was a big misunderstanding, as Nunes bid $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ and had to play that contract.
North started with two rounds of clubs. Nunes took five quick tricks before the defenders drew his trumps and cashed the rest of the clubs for -300.

| West <br> Sueindal | North <br> Helness | East <br> RasmussenHelgemo <br> Pass |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | South |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | $2 \&$ | Pass |  |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
At this table the $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ bidding subsided at $1 \diamond$ with hearts unmentioned. N/S agreed clubs, and Helness asked for a diamond stopper. 4\% -1 would have been no disgrace for $N / S$, but Helgemo had the guts to bid 3NT with $\diamond 10 \mathrm{xxx}$.
Sveindal started with three top diamonds and switched to hearts: Minus 400 (which could still be profitable had Fantunes bid $4 \bigcirc$ ).
That was 12 IMPs for Noralia, and the manner in which those IMPswere lost was quite embarrassing. In the next board Helgemo/Helness gave away 6 more soft IMPs.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.
A 765432
$\checkmark 92$
$\diamond$ K 9
of A 93

| A J 10 |  |  | 4 | A 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ KQ J 73 |  | N | $\checkmark$ | A 108 |
| $\diamond 532$ |  | ${ }^{\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{S}$ |  | Q 8 |
| \& Q 62 |  |  | 8 | K J 10875 |
|  | 4 | K Q 8 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 654 |  |  |
|  |  | A J 107 |  |  |
|  | ¢ | 4 |  |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunes | Dyke | Fantoni | Howard |
| Pass | Pass | 10 | $2 \diamond$ |
| Dble | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| $3 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sueindal | Helness | RasmussenHelgemo |  |
| Pass | Pass | $1 N T$ | Pass |
| 2 $\diamond$ | Pass | $2 \Omega$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |

There are many possible contracts, but somehow both tables reached $3 \circlearrowleft$, which is destined to go down due to impending club ruffs. That was exactly what happened when Nunes was the declarer, but the proceedings at the other room defied belief.
Helgemo led his singleton club. Helness won $\leftrightarrow A$, cashed $\diamond K$ and... switched to spades!
Now Declarer had 11 top tricks.

| =**v 7 th EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE CHAI |
| :--- |
| A deficit of $45: 65$ with four to go was too much | for Monaco, although they managed one big swing which preserved the tension until the very last board.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.
A J 632
$\checkmark$ A 62
$\diamond 9632$
\&) 62

| 9 A |  | A KQ10854 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ J 3 | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}}$ E | $\bigcirc 1098$ |
| $\diamond$ J 1074 | ${ }^{\mathbf{N}}{ }_{S}$ | $\diamond A$ |
| \& AKQ 1054 |  | \& J 73 |
|  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ | KQ 754 |  |
|  | KQ 85 |  |
|  | 98 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunes | Dyke | Fantoni <br> Howard |  |
| $3 \Omega^{*}$ |  | $2 *$ | Pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{Q}^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \%$ | Pass |
|  | Pass | $5 \%$ | All Pass |

This time the Fantunes system was effective. 2 showed $5+$ spades with $10-13 \mathrm{HCP}$ and $3 \bigcirc$ was probably a transfer to clubs. 4 was a cuebid, but East wisely declined the slam invitation.
The contract was safe against any lead. Howard's choice of $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ allowed declarer to ruff one diamond and discard two losing hearts on the $\uparrow \mathrm{KQ}$. The only trick for the defence was $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$. Monaco +620.

| West | North | East | South <br> Sueindal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Helness | RasmussenHelgemo <br> $1 \uparrow$ |
| 2\& Pass |  |  |  |

The bidding started naturally along $2 / 1$ lines when Rasmussen essayed $3 \diamond$. He may have thought this was a cuebid, but his partner promptly raised to $5 \diamond$ (I wonder why $4 \diamond$ was not enough, considering the game forcing nature of the auction). 5 $\boldsymbol{A}$ was a rescue operation, but a plus score was not available anymore.
Even on this board Helgemo/Helness didn't defend perfectly. South led $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ and continued with a small heart. Helness won the $\wp \mathrm{A}$ and continued the suit, even though forcing dummy to ruff wasn't necessary in order to promote his \$J. Instead, a trump switch would have ensured another heart trick for the defence. The actual +100 was good enough for a 12IMP swing.

When the last three boards didn't produce any fireworks, Monaco's early exit became a fact. Even though Noralia played far from perfect bridge, it was good enough for a 68:58 win.



The match was broadcast live on youtube

## OPEN TEAMS QUARTERFINAL, FIRST SEGMENT

## by Jos Jacobs

In this report, I will eventually concentrate on the closest of the four quarter-finals, the NoraliaVentin encounter - remarkably enough the only match of the four with not a single Dutchman at the table. However, I will not forget to show some of the highlights of the other three matches:
Orange White v. Vitas, Khiuppenen v. Rosenthal and Blund v. Levine.

Below, I will refer to Team Orange White simply as White.

Let's start with a look at White v. Vitas:
Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 94
© A 8742
$\diamond$ Q 542
\& 87


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gierulski | Verbeek | SkrzypczakMolenaar <br>  <br> Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| $1 \&$ | $1 \diamond$ |  |  |

The multi-purpose Polish Club ran into trouble here as Skrzypczak could not show the exact nature of his strong hand. One also wonders whether West had a weak jump shift available over $1 \diamond$.
Just the two aces missing, Vitas +150 .


In the other room, the Dutch had an undisturbed auction:

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nab | Schwartz | Bob DrijuerFisher |  |
|  |  | 24 | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 4* | All Pass |  |  |

North underled his $\triangle A$ but when South continued a diamond rather than a heart, declarer had no problem. Of course, South knew that his AJ would drop but this defence saved declarer many a headache. One overtrick, White +450 and their first 7 IMPs of the match.


7th EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIPS
Tromsø, Norway


Over now to Khiuppenen v. Rosenthal:
Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| De Wijs | Bas Drijuer | Muller | Brink |
|  |  |  | 1\% |
| Dble | Rdbl | 14 | 20 |
| 2 | 3\% | 40 | Pass |
| 44 | 5\% | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Four Spades is a playable contract, which might make on an anti-percentage guess in trumps. We won't dwell on the way the percentages might be influenced by the auction. Five Clubs proved to be 'cheap' insurance when West led a spade and dummy's queen won the first trick. Declarer lost two tricks in both red suits, +300 to Khiuppenen.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rosenthal | Khiuppenen | Silverstein | Kholomeev |
|  |  |  | 1\% |
| Dble | 14 | Dble | 2\% |
| 24 | 3\% | 4a | All Pass |

For Rosenthal, EW had no trouble in reaching the good game, in spite of Khiuppenen's handful of sand into their machine (was 14 a transfer or an act of sabotage?). North led the $\% \mathrm{~A}$ and continued a diamond to South's ace. Rosenthal
won the diamond continuation, crossed to the $\checkmark$ K and next...ran the $\mathbf{A} \mathrm{J}$. One down, +100 and another 9 IMPs to Khiuppenen.

In our main match, Noralia v. Ventin, you would not get the chance to play a spade contract:

Open Room

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upmark |  |$\quad$| East |
| :--- |
| Dyke |$\quad$| Souström |
| :--- |
| Noward |

Down two, the normal result after a non-spade lead from West: trump lead, diamond finesse, two rounds of hearts and a spade through. Ventin +100 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Sueindal | North <br> Ventin | East <br> ARasmussenWrang |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dble | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

With his opponents sure to bid a game over East's 4\& (pick a major), Wrang sacrificed immediately. When West led a spade, he escaped for down two, but +300 to Noralia brought them 5 IMPs.


Board 4 was a cold slam but at some tables, it was missed by some careless bidding:

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
a J 32
๑ KQ109754
$\diamond 6$
\& 104


A 7
$\checkmark$ AJ 8
$\diamond$ AKJ 10732
\& 73
A K 10654
$\checkmark 62$
$\diamond 95$
\& A 982
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upmark | Dyke | Nyström | Howard |
| $1 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond$ | 3NT | All Pass |

The disadvantage of the ambiguous $1 \diamond$ opening bid. Heart lead but still +660 to Ventin.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sueindal | Ventin | A.RasmusenWrang |  |
| $1 \&$ | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Once Rasmussen could show his diamonds at the four-level, bidding the slam was inevitable. Noralia +1370 and another 12 IMPs.

In the Khiuppenen $v$. Rosenthal match, Muller was given the same chance to introduce his suit:

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | Bas Drijver Muller | Brink |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | Dble |
| Rdbl | Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass |
| $5 \&$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Some encouraging cuebids, the redouble confirming first-round control, but not enough keycards (just one) for a possible grand. Khiuppenen +1370 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rosenthal | Khiuppenen Silverstein | Kholomeev |  |
| $1 \%$ | 30 | 3NT | All Pass |

If you don't show your suit, it is rather difficult to get to slam in it. Rosenthal +660 but 12 IMPs to Khiuppenen who already led 27-0 at this point.

On the next board, a few declarers went down in $4 \checkmark$ when they played a spade to the king in the end, hoping for a squeeze if the king would score. Vitas did not even get to game but at least, they recorded a plus score on the deal:

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
A A 74
$\diamond$ J 943
\& K 864


White v. Vitas:
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gierulski | Verbeek | SkrzypczakMolenaar |  |
|  | Pass | $1 \Omega$ | Pass |
| $1 ヵ$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |  |  |

$2 \diamond$ showed good hearts but West, understandably, was not interested. Just made after a late spade misguess, +110 to Vitas.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Nab | Schwartz | Bob DrijverFisher |  |
|  | Pass | $4 \varnothing$ | All Pass |

In the other room, Bob Drijver opened $4 \checkmark$, played there and got a cunning 3 lead. When he played low, he had made his contract. Needless to say (aposiopesis again!) that in drawing trumps, the proper play is $\checkmark \mathrm{A}, \diamond \mathrm{Q}$ to pick up a doubleton 10 or jack, rather than low to cater for a doubleton king only. And this time the play is critical.

On board 7, Khiuppenen increased their lead:
Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.


Open Room

| West <br> De Wijs | North East <br> Bas DrijverMuller | South <br> Brink |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass $\quad$ 1NT | Pass <br> Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |

Wiith a nine-count and a five-card suit, Simon de Wijs did not want to miss a vulnerable game. Even after a heart lead, the defenders cannot establish five tricks (the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ being the fifth) before declarer has nine, because the spade finesse will come to declarer's rescue. On the actual spade lead, Muller had no problem at all: spade to the ten and king, club, spade return to dummy's jack and another club. North won and returned his last spade but when Muller, after cashing the clubs and seeing three heart discards from South, led a low heart to the 10 which held, he could play the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ from hand to establish the $\circlearrowleft \mathrm{Q}$ as his ninth trick, thus avoiding the losing diamond finesse. Nicely played, Khiuppenen +600 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Rosenthal | North <br> Khiuppenen Silverstein | South <br> Kholomeev |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 2NT | All Pass |

2 was, among other things, an invitational raise to 2 NT which East, holding a minimum 15 count, did not accept. On a heart lead and continuation, declarer made nine tricks but lost 10 IMPs in the process.

Back to our main match: Noralia v. Ventin.
Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upmark | Dyke | Nyström | Howard |
| 1NT | Pass | $3 \&$ | Pass |
| 3® | Pass | $3 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Three Hearts had shown spades. When North, not holding a very promising hand, decided nevertheless to lead from his longest and strongest, the contract was quickly one down. Noralia +50 .

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East $\quad$ South |  |
| Sueindal | Ventin | ARasmusenWrang |  |
| $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \&$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

At the other table, North tried the effect of a spade after a classic Stayman auction.
As it happened, he got the effect declarer desired: two overtricks, +460 and 11 IMPs to Noralia.



On the next board, Ventin struck back:
Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- KQ10542
$\checkmark$ K 7
$\diamond K 7$
\& Q 86


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upmark | Dyke | Nyström | Howard |
|  | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | 1 NT |
| $2 \circlearrowleft$ | $2 \uparrow$ | $3 \Omega$ | $3 \uparrow$ |
| $4 \Omega$ | $4 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

South clearly intended his 3as purely competitive but this message was lost on North.
Not on West, however: Ventin +300 when declarer lost the obvious five tricks.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sueindal | Ventin | A.RasmussenWrang |  |
|  | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass $3 \boldsymbol{1}$ |  |
| $4 \triangleleft$ | All Pass |  |  |

Ventin timed his strongish weak two well, as did Wrang with his weakish raise.
Sveindal could not possibly pass, dummy brought some useful honour cards but the trump support was not high enough to give the contract any chance so long as the defenders led diamonds through declarer at the critical moment. One down, Ventin +100 and 9 IMPs back.

For once, over now to the 4th quarterfinal match in progress: Blund v. Levine.
On the board below, the Norwegian NS produced a remarkable auction to a great result:

Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.

- AJ 74
$\checkmark$ K 98
$\diamond 1062$
\& $A Q 2$

| A K Q 10 |  | 4 986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 1074 | $4 \mathrm{~N}^{\text {N }}$ | $\bigcirc 532$ |
| $\diamond$ Q 5 | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\diamond$ J 973 |
| \& 654 |  | \& 1073 |
|  | 4 532 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 6 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AK 84 |  |
|  | \& K J 98 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Verhees jr | Lund | Van ProoijenBerset |  |
|  |  |  | 140 |
| 18 | Dble | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 40 | All Pas |  |

Double over $1 \circlearrowleft$ showed four spades and South's 14 promised at least three.
When it became clear that the heart stopper was insufficient, the Norsemen tried a Moysian fit with great success when the trumps behaved.
$\checkmark A, \circlearrowleft Q$, heart ruff in hand, spade to king and ace, club to the king and spade to West's queen. East could now overruff the next heart but that was all the defence could get. Blund +420 .
In the Closed Room, NS settled for the no-play 3NT to lose 10 IMPs on the deal.


Board 12. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
A 4
$\checkmark$ AQ 83
$\diamond$ Q 92
\& AKJ 83

| ¢ A J 1095$\bigcirc 54$ |  | 4 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{N}}$ E | $\bigcirc$ J 1072 |
| $\diamond 1054$ | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\diamond 763$ |
| \& 1097 |  | \& Q 642 |
|  | ง KQ 872 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 96 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKJ 8 |  |
|  | \& 5 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upmark | Dyke | Nyström | Howard |
| Pass | $1 \&$ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | $6 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

$1 \checkmark$ showed spades. Once South heard about North holding $\diamond \mathrm{Qxx}$ (the minimum required for a diamond stopper in response to the 4th suit), he jumped straight to what he thought he could make.

He would have succeeded on a more friendly adverse distribution but as it was, on a heart lead he won in dummy and led a spade up to the king and ace. Now he was lacking the entries to ruff two spades and draw trumps as well. The alternative of a successful club finesse would not have worked either. Declarer can succeed by playing for trumps 3-3 but in practice with 11 top winners if you include the spade ruff, the normal play is to win the second heart, ruff a spade, draw trump, then try to ruff out $\& \mathrm{Q}$ and rely on some combination of spade, heart and club pressure in the ending. This works if the player with heart length guards spades, or if you can generate a position at heartclub squeeze. Not today: one off, +100 to Ventin.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Sueindal | Ventin | A.RasmusenWrang |  |
| Pass | 106 | Pass | $1 \Omega$ |
| Pass | $2 \%$ | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
At the other table, no experiments but a sound +630 , resulting in 12 IMPs to Ventin.
The score in this match now stood at 30-23 to Noralia.


On board 13, White scored a game swing v. Vitas due to the difference in systemic approach.

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.
A AQ 83
$\checkmark$ J10 853
$\diamond$ K J
\& 97

| $\wedge$ | 1075 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ | K 9 |
| $\diamond$ | 86543 |
| $\diamond$ | K Q 8 |

A J 642

- Q 762
$\diamond$ A Q 10
\& A 10
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gierulski | Verbeek | SkrzypczakMolenaar |  |
|  | $1 \Omega$ | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 \Omega$ | Pass | $4 \Omega$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

If you open $1 \checkmark$, you will almost automatically end up in game, which proves an excellent contract.
White +620 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nab | Schwartz | Bob DrijuerFisher |
|  | $2 \Omega$ | All Pass |

If you deduct hcp values for your KJ bare in a side suit, and more generally speaking, if you do not feel an urge to open one of a suit on an 11-count only, you may as well open $2 \Omega$, showing both majors and less than an opening bid. You will then hear partner pass and table a disappointing dummy but that's life. Vitas +200 but 9 IMPs more to White who thus led 28-7 at halftime.

## OPEN TEAMS QUARTERFINAL, SECOND SEGMENT

by Jos Jacobs

Just as I did in my report about the first half of the Open Teams Quarterfinals, I will concentrate mainly on the Noralia v. Ventin match, but also show a highlight or two from the other matches.

On the first board of the second segment, there was no swing in my "preferred" match (Noralia v. Ventin), as both NS pairs did not manage to reach game, even though, at one table, West did not even open the bidding.

As I did before, I will refer to the Orange team simply as "White."

In both the White v. Vitas and Rosenthal v. Khiuppenen matches, however, there was a game swing:

Board 15. Dealer South. N/S Vul.


White v. Vitas:
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fisher | Bob DrijverSchwartz |  | Nab |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1\% | 14 | Dble | Rdbl |
| $2 \%$ | 2 | 2NT | 34 |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

When Bart Nab had just enough to produce a courtesy raise over Schwartz' 2NT (mainly based on a club fit), Bob Drijver knew enough. His trust in partner was rewarded when dummy held exactly the three honour cards he most urgently needed. White +620 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Molenaar | North <br> Gierulski | East <br> Verbeek | South <br> Skrzypczak |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | Pass |
| 20 | 2 | 3 | $3 \uparrow$ |

In the other room, the Poles were left to their own devices when West did not open the bidding. Apparently, these were not sufficient. Vitas +170 but 10 more IMPs to White to lead by 31 now.

In the Rosenthal v. Khiuppenen match, De Wijs' weak NT made it extremely difficult for NS to cope with these hands.

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | Willenken | Muller | D.Bilde <br> Pass |
|  |  |  | 1NT |

All Pass
$2 \diamond$ showed any six-card major. Though South's 2 response would show some heart values, it never occurred to North that partner might as well hold the missing spade honours. Rosenthal +170 .

Closed Room
West North East South
Brink Khiuppenen Bas Drijuer Kholomeev Pass
1NT $2 \diamond$ Pass 2NT

Pass $3 \diamond$ Pass 4ヵ
All Pass

The Russians showed better judgement against the same 10-12 NT. $2 \diamond$ showed a major and $3 \diamond$ confirmed the spades. That's all Kholomeev wanted to know, as he assumed that his partner should have at least a fair hand for his vulnerable overcall. Khiuppenen +620 and 10 IMPs more to them.

After some quiet boards, there was action in three matches on board 20:

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.
A 6
$\checkmark \mathrm{KQ}$
$\diamond$ J 6
of K Q J 96532

| A AKJ |  | - Q 1084 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 954$ | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}}$ E | $\bigcirc$ J 10762 |
| $\diamond$ A 87 | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | $\diamond 10543$ |
| \& A 1084 |  | \& - |
|  | ¢ 97532 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 83 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 92 |  |
|  |  |  |

Noralia v. Ventin
Open Room

| West | North $\quad$ East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wrang | A.RasmussenVentin | Sveindal |
| 1NT | $4 \& \quad$ All Pass |  |

For Noralia, Rasmussen overcalled 4\% and played there. Down one, of course, when declarer played his trumps from the top. Ventin +100 .

In the Closed Room, East's double gave away the show.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dyke | Nyström | Howard | Upmark |
| 1NT | $4 \%$ | Dble | All Pass |

When Nyström used his only entry to dummy to lead a trump to his nine, he was home: Ventin +710 and 13 IMPs back to them to take the lead in the match: 36-34.

White v. Vitas:
Open Room

| West | North $\quad$ East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fisher | Bob DrijverSchwartz | Nab |
| 1NT | $4 \%$ | All Pass |

No double so no inclination to finesse the trumps. Vitas +100 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Molenaar | Gierulski | Verbeek | Skrzypczak |
| 1NT | $2 \uparrow$ | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \Omega$ | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

2 showed the clubs and 3NT was what Skrzypczak thought he would make. When this came round to East, he believed in it...Down three, Vitas +800 and 14 IMPs back to them to reduce the score to 38-22 in favour of White.

In the Rosenthal v. Khiuppenen match, the latter further increased their already big lead:

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | Willenken | Muller | D.Bilde |
| 1NT | $4 \propto$ | Pass | $5 \propto$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

When Bilde thought he had a raise, De Wijs had an easy enough double that did not, by implication, give away the actual trump position. Thus: down two, Khiuppenen +500 .

Closed Room

| West | North $\quad$ East $\quad$ South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brink | KhiuppenenBas Drijver Kholomeev |
| 1NT | $3 \% \quad$ All Pass |

Khiuppenen's strong $3 \%$ overcall silenced the table. Just made for another +110 and 12 IMPs to Khiuppenen who now led 59-11.

Two boards later, the Rosenthal v. Khiuppenen match was effectively decided but Rosenthal could claim themselves distinctly unlucky on the deal.


Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
© K 5
$\checkmark$ K 4
$\diamond$ K J 7
\& AKQ 1095
$\checkmark 10632$
$\diamond 10842$
\& 8

$\checkmark$ AJ 975
$\diamond 653$
\& J 743
A A109842
$\checkmark$ Q 8
$\diamond A$ Q 9
\& 62
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | Willenken | Muller | D.Bilde |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\&}$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass | 50 |
| Pass | 6NT | All Pass |  |

6NT makes if the $\% \mathrm{~J}$ comes down in time, about a $75 \%$ chance; you can augment that by the chance of a miracle in spades, if you wish. Not this time; down three after a heart lead, Khiuppenen +300.

In the Closed Room, the Russians did not get anywhere near a slam:

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brink | Khiuppenen Bas Drijuer Kholomeev |  |  |

Right they were (this time): just made for +620 and 14 IMPs to them rather than 12 IMPs the other way. With the score at $71-15$, six boards would probably not be enough for Rosenthal to wipe out their deficit.

Over now to the closing stages of the Noralia v. Ventin match:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.
A 1085
© K 432
$\diamond 109$
of J872



Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | A.Rasm | Ventin | Sueindal |
| Pass | Pass | 10 | 24 |
| 30 | Pass | Pass | 41 |

All Pass
For Noralia, NS did not explore any possibilities of a slam and rightly so, on this layout.
Ten tricks were the maximum in spades (though $5 \%$ also makes): Noralia +620 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Dyke | North <br> Nyström | East <br> Howard | South <br> Upmark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $1 母$ |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $3 @$ | $4 母$ |
| $4 \diamond$ | $4 \uparrow$ | Pass | $5 \circlearrowleft$ |
| Pass | $5 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

The Swedish part of the Ventin team did explore the possibility of a slam but once they passed 5\%, they had arrived in the down zone. Unlucky but another +100 and 12 IMPs to Noralia who were back in the lead by 10 with just five boards left.


Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.
A 7654
๑KQ763
$\diamond$ J 6
of K 4


Open Room

| West | North East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | A.RasmussenVentin | Sueindal |
|  | Pass 1\% | $1 \diamond$ |
| 1NT | 2\% Dble | 20 |
| 2NT | All Pass |  |

$2 \%$ showed majors after the $10-12 \mathrm{NT}$. On the lead of a spade to the jack and king, Wrang had an easy eight tricks when all the dummy's clubs came in. Ventin +120 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dyke | Nyström | Howard | Upmark |
|  | Pass | $1 \mathbf{1} 0$ | $1 \diamond$ |
| $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{6}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |

When it did not occur to Howard to double, when $2 \diamond$ came round to him, the Australians ended up in an unfortunate contract once it turned out that trumps were 5-1. The contract went down two for another +200 and 8 IMPs back to Ventin, who now trailed by just 2 IMPs with 3 boards left...


On the next board, a defensive mistake cost dearly:

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

- 1074
$\checkmark$ AJ 8
$\diamond A 7$
\& A Q 864

| 4 A J 3 |  | 9 985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 10 | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$ | ৩K9764 |
| $\diamond$ J 1083 | ${ }_{\text {W }}$ | $\diamond$ K 95 |
| ¢ J 532 |  | \& K 7 |
|  | 4 K Q 62 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 532$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 642 |  |
|  | \& 109 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wrang | E.RasmussenVentin | Sueindal <br> A. |  |
| Pass | 1NT | Pass | All Pass |

East led a heart to queen and ace and declarer immediately led a spade up to dummy's king, West ducking smoothly (the normal play). When declarer next ran dummy's $\$ 10$ to East's king, he cleared the hearts, no doubt hoping his $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ would be an early enough entry.

To his disgust, declarer took the jack and cleared the clubs. West won the \&J on the 4th round of the suit and pushed a diamond through but it was too late. Declarer went up with his ace and the 5th club was his seventh trick. Noralia +90 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dyke | Nyström | Howard | Upmark |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | $1 N T$ | All Pass |  |

Same lead and continuation as in the Open Room but here, East continued a spade after winning his $\& \mathrm{~K}$. The $\bigcirc 10$ held the next trick but the $\diamond$ J return sank the contract, as declarer still had to give up another club. Down two, +200 and 7 priceless IMPs to Noralia to lead by nine.

Noralia lost the 7 IMPs just gained on the next board when they in turn misdefended a little:

| Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 753 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 1095 |  |
|  | \& 9852 |  |
|  |  | ค 8762 |
|  | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\bigcirc 10942$ |
| $\diamond 62$ | ${ }^{\mathbf{S}}{ }^{\text {E }}$ | $\diamond$ Q 74 |
| \& A Q J 6 |  | \& K 7 |
|  | A KQ 54 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 8 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A J 83 |  |
|  | \& 1043 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Wrang | North <br> A RasmussenVentin | Easth <br> Sueindal |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \diamond$ |
| Dble | $2 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

$1 \diamond$ showed hearts so South's $1 \diamond$ was for takeout. Declarer won the heart lead, unblocked the A, crossed to the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and threw two clubs on the top spades before getting off play with a heart. This way, he made an easy nine tricks for +110 to Noralia.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Dyke | Nyström | Howard | Upmark |
| Dble | 18 | 14 | Pass |
| 24 | Dble | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
After the ambiguous $1 \diamond$, the rest of the auction was basically natural but the final contract was a bit optimistic. West led the J to dummy's blank ace and declarer next ran dummy's $\$ 9$ to West's jack. The ৩K continuation was allowed to hold but declarer won the $\triangle \mathrm{Q}$ in dummy and cashed his four diamond tricks, finessing the queen through East successfully.

On the third diamond, West threw a club but on the fourth diamond, both defenders threw spades so declarer could cash his three remaining spades for his contract and a surprise +400 , good for 7 IMPs back to Ventin.

So just two IMPs separated the two teams when
the last board arrived:
Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.


Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dyke | Nyström | Howard | Upmark |
| $1 \Omega$ | $1 \$$ | $3 \Omega$ | All Pass |

Full marks to Howard's immediate raise to three. The double raise effectively shut out South and at the same time made it much more difficult for North to find the correct defence. When North led a trump, declarer was home, as a losing spade would always go on the diamonds

## Open Room

| West | North $\quad$ East | South |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Wrang | A.RasmussenVentin | Sueindal |  |
| $1 \varnothing$ | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \Omega$ | Dble |
| Pass | $3 \%$ | $3 \Omega$ | $4 \%$ |

All Pass
As play had finished in the Closed Room well before the Open Room, the audience knew that in the CR, the defence had let through $3 \triangle$. It needs a spade lead to beat it. A diamond, or even the two top clubs, are not good enough as the diamond would cost a vital tempo and two rounds of clubs would destroy the defenders' communications to cash the second spade trick later on.

So much depended on what would happen after South bid 4\%. If the defence could shift to a diamond after cashing a top heart, not too difficult at the table, the contract would go one down. However, scoring +100 would cost Ventin another IMP so they would then lose by 3 .

Their only chance was to double the final contract and then set it by one trick to reach a tie and the 3rd penalty shoot-out here in Tromsø. When EW did not find the double, and understandably so, as they were not playing matchpoints, they scored +100 but lost the match 51-54.

7th EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIPS

## THE WELSH WIZARD

by Barry Rigal

Patrick Jourdain has achieved fame as President of IBPA, but he can occasionally be persuaded to play the odd hand. His passion for unusual twocard endings knows no bounds, and in yesterday's BAM teams he found his way to another intriguing end-position.

BAM Round 5
Board 19. Dealer South. EW Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \wedge \text { A Q } 2 \\ & \diamond 872 \\ & \diamond \text { A } 986 \\ & \text { \& A } 32 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a K9764 |  | A 5 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 3 | N | $\bigcirc$ K 10654 |
| $\diamond$ Q 4 | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\diamond$ J 103 |
| \& Q J 105 |  | \& 9764 |
|  | A J 1083 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J 9 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 752 |  |
|  | of K 8 |  |


| West | North | East | South <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | Dble | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Both Jourdain and hs partner Dave Kendrick pushed a little here, but the final contract was not hopeless, until West was dealt a natural club lead. Jourdain ducked the first club, won the second,
and drove out the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$. The defenders cleared clubs, unwisely leaving West with the master, and Jourdain took the two diamond winners, ending in hand. This was the position.


West had discarded his small heart on the fourth diamond, not best but not necessarily fatal. Now when Jourdain led the J West was forced to decide if declarer has $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJ}$ (and no 10) or $\circlearrowleft$ QJ. Perhaps he should have played low anyway since declarer can make his game by force via an endplay if he has $\circlearrowleft \mathrm{KJ}$. But he covered the 9 J and Jourdain seized his chance like a famished man confronted by the delicacies of the Caranza buffet. He cashed the spade ace and queen, then exited in hearts. West could take his two winners but then had to lead from his 9-7 into Jourdain's tenace, and the game came home.


Patrick Jourdain and Barry Rigal

## ORANGE WHITE vs KHYUPPENEN

## by David Bird

## Open Teams SemiFinal, first session

Only four teams were still alive in the Open Teams. See whether you think the swing on this board was due to good luck or good bidding:

Board 4. Dealer West. Both Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | Verbeek | Muller | Molenaar |
| $2 \%$ | $2 \Omega$ | $4 \%$ | All Pass |

East's 4\& has a pre-emptive element to it. He was vulnerable, though, and West may have given brief consideration to bidding 5\%. Both the West and East actions seem very reasonable to me but with trumps 1-1 the club game is on. Would they get there in the Closed Room?

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nab | Matushko | Drijuer | Sterkin |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \Omega$ | $1 \% *$ | $3 \Omega$ |
| $4 \%$ | Pass | $5 \%$ | All Pass |

East had an easy raise to $5 \%$ at his second turn. The defenders' king and queen of trumps failed to take a trick, so a handsome 600 was entered in the E-W plus column. That was 10 IMPs to Team Orange White.

Board 5. Dealer West. N-S Vul.
A J 8 62
$\diamond$
A J 7543
$\diamond 53$
$\& \quad 3$


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | Verbeek | Muller | Molenaar |
|  | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \odot$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |

The first six calls, up to East's pass, were identical at both tables. It is risky to bid $2 \circlearrowleft$ with only one card in partner's suit, but if that is the verdict of two European Open semi-finalists, I am happy to accept it. At this table $3 \circlearrowleft$ was mercifully undoubled. Muller and de Wijs may have had rueful thoughts of 'the one that got away' as they wrote +300 in their scorecards.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nab | Matushko | Drijver | Sterkin |
|  | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \propto$ |
| Pass | $2 \odot$ | Pass | $3 \&$ |
| Dble | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Dble | All Pass |

In the Closed Room South preferred to rebid $3 \%$, which was fair enough, but the auction then took a nasty turn when West doubled 3\%. Accurate defence, refusing to allow declarer a trick with dummy's $\triangle A$, would have taken this contact 800 off. Unwilling to submit his partner to such an indignity, North corrected to $3 \triangle$ and went for 800 himself. Team Orange White had 11 IMPs.
Compare the two auctions and you may start to wonder if West should have doubled 2NT at the other table. Well, I wouldn't have done, but a spade lead and a diamond switch would have taken that contract for 800 too.

Board 6. Dealer East. E-W Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nab | Matushko | Drijver | Sterkin |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $1 ヵ$ | $2 \diamond$ | Dble* | $3 \circlearrowleft$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Dble Support
As I see it, South's $3 \triangle$ is the bridge equivalent of overtaking just before a blind bend on a busy road. After the - 800 in $3 \circlearrowleft$ on the previous board, North may have expected an unusually sound $3 \triangle$ from a partner attempting to steady the ship. He raised to $4 \checkmark$ and this contract, too, went three down. Undoubled, it cost only 150. At the other table South did not bid $3 \triangle$ and West went one down in 2 on a 4-3 fit. That was another 6 IMPs to Team Orange White collected another 11 IMPs.


Board 9. Dealer North. E-W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | Verbeek | Muller | Molenaar |
|  | $4 N T *$ | Dble | $5 \uparrow$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

North's 4NT showed both minor suits and Molenaar ended in 5\% doubled. Would you expect this contract to be made after a $\odot \mathrm{J}$ lead?
Molenaar won with the $\triangle \mathrm{A}$ and ran the $\$ 8$ to the $\& \mathrm{~K}$. East cashed a heart trick and continued with the $\mathbf{A} K$, ruffed in the dummy. Declarer drew the last trump with the ace and crossed to his hand with the $\% 9$. He finessed the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ successfully, cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and ruffed a diamond. Alas, the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ did not appear and he was one down.
It seems that declarer gives himself a for better chance by finessing the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ at Trick 2. If he continues with the $\& \mathrm{~A}$, leaving him three trumps in his hand to ruff diamonds against a bad break in the suit, he would make 12 tricks when the $\& \mathrm{~K}$ fell. (Ducking trick one also has a lot to recommend it, if planning to play on diamonds before trumps. Editor)

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nab | Matushko | Drijver | Sterkin |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \circlearrowleft$ | Dble* |
| Pass | $2 \propto$ | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \%$ | All Pass |  |

N-S were less ambitious in the Closed Room. Matushko and Sterkin must have been relieved to score 6 IMPs for their +150 .

Good bidding went unrewarded on my final deal.

Board 12. Dealer West. N-S Vul.
a J 85
$\checkmark 93$
$\diamond$ J 6
\& A Q 10764
ヘ Q 76
$\checkmark$ KQ 86
$\diamond$ AK 1042
0 J


4 K 10432
$\checkmark$ J 1042
$\diamond 97$
\& K 3
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | Verbeek | Muller | Molenaar |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| $2 \otimes$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Well done if you would have found the $\& \mathrm{~K}$ lead from the South hand. Molenaar led a spade, dummy's Q winning, and that was +430 to E-W.
West
Nab
$1 \diamond$
$24 *$
$30 *$

North
Matushko Drijuer
South
Sterkin
Pass
Pass All Pass

Nab and Drijver sent a minor firework display of system bids into the sky. Matushko took his opportunity to double the artificial $3 \%$ bid and Drijver then leapt to the par contract of $5 \diamond$. As the cards lie, only a lead of the $\triangle 9$ would allow the ambitious venture of $6 \diamond$ to succeed.

After the first set, Team Orange White led Khyuppenen by 42 IMPs to 19.

## feSTIVALS Dusexil

 Join the next 5 stages of the 'Festivals du Soleil' bridge challenge and enjoy their exceptional conditions and Mediterranean environment!LA GRANDE MOHJE - Aluysi 20/ Seppember o, 2015 MONACO - Ociober $19 /$ Ociober 13,2015 AVIGNON - Ociojer 29/ November J, 2015 CANNESS-Febivary 23/ Feimury 23,2010 JUAN LES PINS-ADANN $29 /$ May 12,2010

## Open Teams Semifinals, Session 1

Some tall timber had fallen in flames in the Open Teams Championship: MONACO, CAYNE, MAZURKIEWICZ, MAHAFFEY, GORDON, VENTIN...all gone. The four teams that had survived so far had all played well. In this set we have NORALIA (Jon Sveindal/Arild Rasmussen, Justin Howard/Kieran Dyke) against BLUND (Ole Berset/Olav Arve Høyem, Aksel Hornslien/Børre Lund/ Jørgen Molberg).

The heart suit and minor-suit games played a big part in the first 14 boards of the semifinal. Spades? Who needs spades?

Board 1 was another of those knotty three-notrump vs. four-of-a-major decisions that have dotted the Championships. Both Easts opted for Stayman and a raise to game over the two-heart response with: ©J6 $08654 \diamond$ KQ9 \&KQ96. Partner held: ^ AKQ ऽAQ103 $\diamond 7643$ \& J2. Hearts were 3-2 with the doubleton jack in front of the ace-queen-tenthree, so no misguess was possible on normal play.

On Board 2, the East players had a one-notrump-or-raise decision when partner opened with one heart in third seat and RHO passed. They held: ©Q74 ऽK108 『Q62 \&Q764. Rasmussen for NORALIA raised to two hearts and Høyem for BLUND bid one notrump. Two hearts was cold and one notrump was an easy two down when partner held four low spades and a singleton diamond; 5 IMPs to NORALIA.

Another four-of-a-major versus three-notrump decision arose on Board 3. NORALIA bid to three notrump, BLUND to four spades. Three no trump was cold, whereas four spades needed a bit of luck. BLUND received its bit of luck for a push.

That bit of luck was returned to NORALIA with interest on the next deal, when Rasmussen declared three notrump with a heart holding of queen-third opposite a singleton, and the suit was led. That was the bad news. The good news was that his RHO had overcalled one heart and held both the ace and king of the suit. Oh, declarer also needed clubs to be

1-1 with ace-ten sixth opposite jack-fifth. Plus 630 against -150 gave 10 IMPs to NORALIA.

NORALIA won another 5 IMPs for two hearts doubled, +500 , versus three clubs undoubled, -300 . A push in two spades down one followed, and then, a dicey one-notrump contract...

Board 7. Dealer South. Both Vul.


Open Room:

| West | North | East | South <br> Sueindal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Lund | RasmussenBerset <br> $1 \&$ |  |
| Pass |  |  | Pass |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

With no attractive lead in any suit, Sveindal guessed to start with the nine of spades. Berset covered with the jack and Rasmussen ducked with the two, encouraging. When declarer tried the king of hearts from dummy, Sveindal won with his ace and continued spades to declarer's king. A heart to the nine held, as did a club to the king. The jack of hearts knocked out the queen, a club to the queen knocked out the ace and a spade back knocked out that ace. When a diamond to the jack lost to the queen, declarer was held to seven tricks for +90 .

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hornslien |  |$\quad$| East |
| :--- |
| Dyke |$\quad$| Høyem |
| :--- | | South |
| :--- |
| Howard |
| $10 \%$ |

$1 \checkmark$ Spades
14 $11-13$ balanced with $2 / 3$ spades

Høyem is a more of a believer in fourth-best against one notrump than is Sveindal, so he duly led a club. Dyke won with dummy's jack and led a diamond to the jack, losing to the queen. East led another low club and declarer won with dummy's king to lead the ten of diamonds to the ace. West had a club left and led it to East's ace. East took the thirteenth club and everyone else threw spades on that so East exited with a passive spade to dummy's king. Declarer led a heart to dummy's nine, holding the trick, but now had no entry to set up and enjoy a second heart trick. He led a spade to the ace, hoping the queen would fall, but when it did not, he had only the king of diamonds left for a sixth trick. When they failed to break, there was no seventh in sight. That was -100 and BLUND's first IMPs, 5 of them. It was 20-5 NORALIA halfway through the set.

On Board 8, North/South had an easy defence to beat one notrump and both did. Next...

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.
© $\overline{106}$
$\diamond$ A Q 1042
\& A Q 10764


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sueindal | Lund | Rasmussen | Berset |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | 18 | Dble |
| Pass | 20 | 20 | 3\% |
| Pass | 5\% | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hornslien | Dyke | Høyem | Howard |
| - | $1 \diamond$ | 18 | Dble* |
| Pass | 20 | 20 | Pass |
| Pass | 3\% | All Pass |  |

That North hand sure looks like a three-club bid over the negative double to me. Both Norths disagreed with that assessment today, but I would not be surprised if, upon reflection, they change their minds. Berset scraped up a raise and Lund
seized his second chance. Both declarers made 12 tricks by finessing the queen of diamond and not finessing the queen of clubs. That sent 6 IMPs BLUND's way, now trailing 20-11.

Board 10. Dealer East. Both Vul.

|  | 4 | A J 63 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 642 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | K 103 |  |
|  | 8 | Q 96 |  |
|  | 5 |  | A K9842 |
|  | A J 5 | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}}$ L | $\checkmark$ K Q 1093 |
|  | AQ98765 | $\mathrm{W}_{\text {S }} \mathrm{E}$ | $\diamond 2$ |
|  | J 8 |  | \& 103 |
|  | 4 | Q 107 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 87 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | J 4 |  |
|  | 8 | A K 75 |  |


| West | North | EastSouth <br> Sveindal <br> Lund |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | RasmussenBerset <br> Pass Pass |

In an attempt to shut out the heretofore unmentionedspades, Sveindal made aquestionable three-diamond preempt in third seat. That did not have to work out as badly as it did, but the lapse in discipline cost him dearly this time. He had five losers in three diamonds and so was one off for -100.

| West <br> Hornslien | North <br> Dyke | East <br> Høyem | South <br> Howard <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \diamond$ |  | Pass | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \propto$ |  |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

By contrast, Hornslien made the morenormal one-diamond bid and then leapt to three diamonds at his second turn. His reward was +620 in four hearts. That contract is no bargain, needing both red suits 3-2 and the ace of spades onside or ruffing down (otherwise: two club tricks ending in North, spade through, then a ruff-sluff).

After having been down 20-0 early, BLUND now led 23-20.

Board 11. Dealer South. Neither Vul.

```
4 K }
\diamond109872
\ K653
&}9
```

A A 532
© J 5
$\diamond$ A Q 1082
\& J 7
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$

- Q J 7
$\diamond 4$
$\diamond$ J 974
\& KQ 843
A 10864
$\checkmark$ AKQ6 3
$\diamond---$
\& A 1052
Both East/West pairs perpetrated the following auction:

| West | North | East | South <br> $1 \Omega$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dble | $4 \checkmark$ | Dble | All Pass |

Do you agree with both doubles? If you do, then

West must pull. Does that seem right? On the actual deal, five hearts was cold and five diamonds doubled would have been down two. It was a push at -690.

On Board 12, Berset/Hornslien outbid Sveindal/ Rasmussen to another excellent five-of-a-minor game, this time five diamonds, to win 6 IMPs. On 13, Both East/West pairs declared a notrump partscore. Høyem made one and Sveindal made two - but Sveindal had been doubled! Plus 380 against 90 sent 7 IMPs to NORALIA.

On the last deal, the West players held: 983 © KJ8 $\diamond$ QJ9 863 . Both their partners opened a strong notrump. Berset tried Stayman and passed out the two-diamond response for +90 . Sveindal transferred to diamonds with two spades and went one off with five top losers for -50 and a 4-IMP loss.

The score at the end of the first 14-board segment was BLUND 33 - NORALIA 27.



Cavendish Teams
Coupe Prince Albert II

Cavendish Invitational Open Pairs

Cavendish Invitational Ladies Pairs

Prize Money

Hotel Accomodation

Information and contact

- Monday October 19th and Tuesday October 20th
- Open Team 7500 Euros
- Ladies or Junior team : 3500 Euros
- Auction: no
- Auction : October 20th (18h30)
- Wednesday October 21st, to Friday 23rd
- Entry fee: 1500 Euros per pair
- Auction guarantee : 5000 Euros per pair
- Auction : Tuesday October 20th (18h30)
- Wednesday October 21st, to Friday 23rd
- Entry fee: 750 Euros per pair
- Auction guarantee : 2000 Euros per pair
- $90 \%$ of the entry fees and auctions paid back to the players and bidders.
- The Société des Bains de Mer (SBM), partner of the Monaco Bridge Fed, will propose great conditions for her prestigious hotels (Hôtel de Paris, Hôtel Hermitage), but you may find any other accomodations in Monaco as well at different rates. Tell us about your needs and we'll find solutions for you.
- Jean-Charles Allavena, President of the FMB Email: jcaconseil@libello.com-Cell:+ 33680869103


## THE IMPs THAT DISAPPEAR

## by Micke Melander

Take vs Sagg was an all Scandinavian battle between Sweden and Norway at the 2015 edition of the European Open Senior Teams in Tromsö. Even though many IMPs were shared, many disappeared here and there, so the IMP scores could have been a lot higher.

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goldenheim | Trapp | Aronsen | Elmroth |
|  | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 10 | Pass | $2 \Omega$ | Pass |
| 48 | All Pass |  |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Karlsson | Tornberg | Aldeborg | Graurak |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 140* | $1 \diamond$ | 1NT** | Pass |
| 2NT* | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |

1\% 16+
1NT 8+ with diamond stopper
2NT Forcing

Tornberg's One Diamond overcall in the Closed Room made life difficult for Karlsson as declarer. A spade was led against the cold contract; would declarer be able to find the right line of play?

Karlsson won with the ace of spades and sniffed at the right line of play when he next cashed the ace of hearts. Without the diamond overcall he probably would have just led a diamond towards dummy and then when in dummy next he would lead a trump towards his hand. Since he probably was afraid that the defence had a diamond ruff coming he instead played the king and queen of spades ruffing the last one in dummy to play a trump to his hand which went to the jack, queen and North's king.
North made no mistake and simply led back a club to South's ace, who next put the ten of spades on the table to promote a trump trick for North's nine of trumps. Since the defence still had the ace of diamonds to win, declarer was down one.

In the Open Room Goldenheim also become declarer in Four Hearts without any overcall from NS. Trapp led the eight of clubs, declarer called for the king from dummy which South captured with the ace to play back a spade. Declarer rose with the ace of spades and thereafter cashed the king and queen, discarding a diamond from dummy on the third round.

A diamond towards dummy followed, North went up with the ace of diamonds and led back a club which went to declarer's jack. If declarer had cashed the ace of hearts and played a diamond to the king then a heart towards his hand he would have made his contract. But since declarer had other strings to his bow, he played a diamond to the king, and took a heart finesse of the ten which lost to the king and now was on his way to defeat. North returned a killing diamond, and South was able to overruff declarer's eight of hearts with the jack, that brought declarer down. However it wasn't over yet, as in the Closed Room, NS also got their coup en passant when South returned the ten of spades to bring the contract two down in the end. 2 IMPs to Take.

More IMPs at stake at board five.

| Board 5. Deal | r North. NS Vul. J J 82 A J 7543 S 53 3 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ค 7 |  | - AK953 |
| $\bigcirc 986$ |  | $\bigcirc$ KQ 102 |
| $\diamond$ AJ 976 | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\diamond 102$ |
| ¢ K 1042 | S | \& J 7 |
|  | Q Q 104 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KQ 84 |  |
|  | * AQ9865 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goldenheim | Trapp | Aronsen | Elmroth |
|  | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | $3 \%^{*}$ |

All Pass
3\% Constructive preempt
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Karlsson | Tornberg | Aldeborg Graurak |  |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond^{*}$ | $2 \%$ |

Dble All Pass
$1 \diamond \quad 11-15$ with five-cards Major or $5+\diamond$ and unbalanced
Dble Business proposal...

Elmroth got the seven of spades led against Three Clubs, which went to the two, king and declarer's queen. East didn't believe that declarer had the queen singleton and continued with the ace of spades, and right he was when declarer followed suit and West discarded the eight of hearts. East then played the nine of spades (Lavintahl) which West ruffed to follow his partners signals by returning the nine of hearts. A diamond would have been better, since that would have meant that they would have been able to bring the contract three down if they had defended correctly thereafter. Declarer would not have had an entry to cash the ace of hearts in dummy. Declarer went up with the ace from dummy and discarded a diamond from hand before calling for the jack of spades which he discarded another diamond on as West again ruffed. When trumps were now 2-2 declarer could ruff the heart return, cash the ace of clubs and play a club to lose just a trump and the ace of diamonds for two down.

More IMPs were at stake when South got doubled in Two Clubs at the other table but when the defense was copied it was one down for a push.

Board 9. Dealer North. EW Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goldenheim | Trapp | Aronsen | Elmroth |
|  |  | 29 | $3{ }^{6}{ }^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 5\% |

2\% Natural without 4+M
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Karlsson | Tornberg | Aldeborg | Graurak |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | $1 \Delta$ |
| Dble | 30 | $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |

It was easy for NS in the Open Room when Trapp as North showed 5-6 in the minors, South simply placed the contract in Five Clubs. East led the ace of spades which declarer ruffed (yes, pitching a heart to cut communications is certainly plausible), Trapp crossed to dummy with a heart to the ace and finessed in diamonds with the queen. When the queen held he simply cashed the ace of clubs and could claim twelve tricks when the king of clubs fell. (yes again, ace of clubs before a heart to the ace is also a move to consider - but why argue with success?)

Gravrak led the seven of diamonds in the Closed Room when they sold out cheaply to Three Hearts. North won with the queen of diamonds and cashed the ace to return the ten of diamonds. Aldeborg ruffed high with the king of hearts and South decided not to overruff and instead discarded a
club, a heart to dummy's jack followed, and when that held declarer called for the king of diamonds and pitched his king of clubs.
The defense was still on track for two down if South had given North the ruff in spades that was available, but when a club was returned declarer could ruff and play trumps. Declarer had to lose a spade to South for one down.

Since the scoring in the match was $11-8$ to Take at this point, this 8 IMP swing was a huge turnover for Take.

Board 12. Dealer West. NS Vul.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { s } & \text { J } 85 \\
\diamond & 93 \\
\diamond & \text { J 6 } \\
\text { \& } & \text { A Q } 10764
\end{array}
$$



Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goldenheim | Trapp | Aronsen | Elmroth |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 1NT | All Pass |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Karlsson | Tornberg | Aldeborg | Graurak |
| $1 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 1NT* | Pass |
| 2NT* | Pass | 3NT |  |

$1 \diamond \quad 11-15$ with any 5 C Major or $5+\diamond$ and unbalanced
1NT 10-11 hcp and forcing to 2NT
2NT $5+\diamond$ and $4+$ M


The Swedish highly artificial system in the Closed Room helped them to get out of the danger coming to stop in 1NT, as was the case in the Open Room, when West didn't want to treat his hand as a reverse by bidding Two Hearts. And don't we all agree it's really a borderline decision whether to bid or not? When partner can't bid spades the hand loses some value. However Five Diamonds was cold, even Four Hearts if you want to try to play there. Three Notrump was also very successful when East got to be declarer and South led his longest and strongest suit, spades! Another 8 IMPs to Take, and the first segment ended 4115 in their favour.

THE NEW APP ON BIDDING

FOR TABLETS AND SMARTPHONES

AVAILABLE IN THE APP STORE AND GOOGLE PLAY

MORE INFO: jvcleeff@xs4all.nl

## OPEN PAIRS

RANKING AFTER ROUND 5

|  | PAIR | MP | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | KWIECIEN M. - JANISZEWSKI P. | 6,656.52 | 64.01 |
| 2 | HANTVEIT T. - HOYLAND S. | 6,412.56 | 61.66 |
| 3 | OZDIL M. - OZBALCI E. | 6,236.71 | 59.97 |
| 4 | QUANTIN J. - LORENZINI C. | 6,221.17 | 59.82 |
| 5 | KOLATA S. - KANDEMIR I. | 6,188.92 | 59.51 |
| 6 | NANEV I. - GUNEV R. | 6,168.02 | 59.31 |
| 7 | GIARD O. - BENOIT A. | 6,144.56 | 59.08 |
| 8 | SMITH V. - EVJEN S. | 6,130.00 | 58.94 |
| 9 | ROMANSKI J. - GRZELAK R. | 6,099.78 | 58.65 |
| 10 | SIMONSEN S. - BERG E. | 6,092.43 | 58.58 |
| 11 | SMIRNOV A. - PIEKAREK J. | 6,088.35 | 58.54 |
| 12 | SKJETNE E. - LUNNA K. | 6,041.34 | 58.09 |
| 13 | KOPSTAD O. - GRUDE T. | 6,021.14 | 57.90 |
| 14 | GAWRYS P. - KLUKOWSKI M. | 6,001.17 | 57.70 |
| 15 | VOLL R. - KINDSBEKKEN A. | 5,995.60 | 57.65 |
| 16 | HAGA O. - BAARDSEN T. | 5,934.52 | 57.06 |
| 17 | TOWNSEND T. - SANDQVIST -. | 5,932.48 | 57.04 |
| 18 | CORNELL M. - BACH A. | 5,921.38 | 56.94 |
| 19 | HELGEMO G. - HOFTANISKA T. | 5,906.80 | 56.80 |
| 20 | LINDQVIST E. - BROGELAND B. | 5,901.10 | 56.74 |
| 21 | OVESEN V. - HATTEN O. | 5,881.43 | 56.55 |
| 22 | LYNGEN I. - LARSEN H. | 5,847.83 | 56.23 |
| 23 | VAN LANKVELD J. - BOS B. | 5,833.71 | 56.09 |
| 24 | KING P. - McINTOSH A. | 5,827.88 | 56.04 |
| 25 | RAJADHYAKSHA P. - GORDON M. | 5,780.35 | 55.58 |
| 26 | MORATH A. - EFRAIMSSON B. | 5,780.32 | 55.58 |
| 27 | FJAELBERG J. - OLSEN J. | 5,740.76 | 55.20 |
| 28 | EBER N. - BOSENBERG C. | 5,724.72 | 55.05 |
| 29 | BAREKET I. - LENGY A. | 5,722.87 | 55.03 |
| 30 | OTVOSI E. - CHRISTIANSEN K. | 5,710.57 | 54.91 |
| 31 | YILMAZ M. - GUR O. | 5,704.25 | 54.85 |
| 32 | SVINDAHL F. - HANSEN J. | 5,693.24 | 54.74 |
| 33 | HELMICH A. - HOP G. | 5,692.27 | 54.73 |
| 34 | BESSIS T. - VOLCKER F. | 5,663.48 | 54.46 |
| 35 | GROSSACK A. - GROSSACK Z. | 5,647.03 | 54.30 |
| 36 | JASSEM K. - MAZURKIEWICZ M. | 5,640.51 | 54.24 |
| 37 | SKIMMELAND T. - LARSEN G. | 5,635.13 | 54.18 |
| 38 | VAINIKONIS V. - OLANSKI W. | 5,632.18 | 54.16 |
| 39 | RITMEIJER R. - TICHA M. | 5,620.24 | 54.04 |
| 40 | KREUNING H. - OUDA S. | 5,613.24 | 53.97 |
| 41 | LEVIN R. - BLANCHARD S. | 5,608.23 | 53.93 |
| 42 | HOILAND T. - OVESEN J. | 5,600.85 | 53.85 |
| 43 | SANBORN K. - SANBORN S. | 5,594.54 | 53.79 |
| 44 | SEN T. - KAYA E. | 5,587.68 | 53.73 |
| 45 | HERLAND J. - FROEYLAND S. | 5,566.62 | 53.53 |
| 46 | REINHOLDTSEN J. - LUOSTARINEN J. | 5,563.00 | 53.49 |
| 47 | ERBIL E. - ZOBU A. | 5,555.26 | 53.42 |
| 48 | DAVIDSEN R. - SAUR J | 5,548.79 | 53.35 |
| 49 | JOERSTAD K. - JOERSTAD R. | 5,546.53 | 53.33 |
| 50 | FODSTAD A. - AAREBROT A. | 5,319.01 | 53.28 |
| 51 | KOLESNIK A. - ROEDER R. | 5,519.09 | 53.07 |
| 52 | YADLIN D. - YADLIN I. | 5,519.00 | 53.07 |
| 53 | KOLUDA P. - DARKIEWICZ-MONIUSZKO G. | 5,517.56 | 53.05 |


| \#**** | th EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE CHAN |  |  | Tromsø, Norway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PAIR | MP | \% |  |
| 54 | GINOSSAR E. - RESHEF O. | 5,506.55 | 52.95 |  |
| 55 | LARSEN E. - EVENSTAD S. | 5,497.23 | 52.86 |  |
| 56 | ZACK Y. - COHEN I. | 5,486.17 | 52.75 |  |
| 57 | ZUR-CAMPANILE M. - MCALLISTER J. | 5,482.82 | 52.72 |  |
| 58 | FAILLA G. - DE MICHELIS L. | 5,481.40 | 52.71 |  |
| 59 | HOFF G. - HJELMELAND G. | 5,479.50 | 52.69 |  |
| 60 | BILDE M. - FARHOLT S. | 5,479.45 | 52.69 |  |
| 61 | O'CONNOR S. - BELL M. | 5,477.66 | 52.67 |  |
| 62 | MARSTRANDER P. - ANDERSSEN R. | 5,477.62 | 52.67 |  |
| 63 | SERPOI G. - TEODORESCU C. | 5,476.27 | 52.66 |  |
| 64 | GOLD D. - CASTNER K. | 5,475.46 | 52.65 |  |
| 65 | LANZAROTTI M. - MANNO A. | 5,471.12 | 52.61 |  |
| 66 | GARSEG T. - STATLE S. | 5,469.67 | 52.59 |  |
| 67 | AUSTBERG P. - BERG J. | 5,465.87 | 52.56 |  |
| 68 | LAKATOS P. - DOMBI G. | 5,446.20 | 52.37 |  |
| 69 | WILLENKEN C. - BILDE D. | 5,444.27 | 52.35 |  |
| 70 | LINDESTEG O. - UELAND D. | 5,441.68 | 52.32 |  |
| 71 | SHI B. - TIAN W. | 5,440.56 | 52.31 |  |
| 72 | ISPORSKI V. - KOVACHEV V. | 5,439.04 | 52.30 |  |
| 73 | GUMBY P. - LAZER W. | 5,430.60 | 52.22 |  |
| 74 | JOHNSEN S. - STOKKELAND L. | 5,428.71 | 52.20 |  |
| 75 | MICHAUD-LARIVIERE X. - DE MENDEZ T. | 5,425.26 | 52.17 |  |
| 76 | AYDIN A. - SUZER U. | 5,410.85 | 52.03 |  |
| 77 | SAETHER J. - SCHEIE M. | 5,408.49 | 52.00 |  |
| 78 | FRANCHI A. - ZALESKI R. | 5,403.75 | 51.96 |  |
| 79 | GIERULSKI B. - SKRZYPCZAK J. | 5,396.84 | 51.89 |  |
| 80 | STRAND K. - HELMERSEN K. | 5,388.79 | 51.82 |  |
| 81 | HOFSETH J. - KRISTENSEN A. | 5,381.90 | 51.75 |  |
| 82 | LINDER P. - SWENSSON P. | 5,376.43 | 51.70 |  |
| 83 | THOMASSEN K. - HINGE S. | 5,375.32 | 51.69 |  |
| 84 | GUSTAVSSON T. - CLARIN P. | 5,159.12 | 51.67 |  |
| 85 | THOMASSEN P. - ANDERSEN S. | 5,369.84 | 51.63 |  |
| 86 | ROLL Y. - LEVIN A. | 5,359.31 | 51.53 |  |
| 87 | GIUBILO V. - MEDUGNO G. | 5,355.27 | 51.49 |  |
| 88 | RIMSTEDT P. - JONSSON S. | 5,351.63 | 51.46 |  |
| 89 | SAETRE J. - OVESEN S. | 5,348.84 | 51.43 |  |
| 90 | GOLEBIOWSKI S. - JASZCZAK A. | 5,342.41 | 51.37 |  |
| 91 | MARTINUSSEN S. - PAULSEN D. | 5,339.03 | 51.34 |  |
| 92 | MALINOWSKI A. - PADON D. | 5,119.23 | 51.27 |  |
| 93 | RYDLAND B. - BOGEN J. | 5,332.48 | 51.27 |  |
| 94 | BOMPIS M. - VINCIGUERRA H. | 5,327.23 | 51.22 |  |
| 95 | RUBINS K. - LORENCS M. | 5,320.23 | 51.16 |  |
| 96 | JOHANSEN J. - JOHANSEN A. | 5,317.38 | 51.13 |  |
| 97 | MIHAI G. - MIHAI R. | 5,296.91 | 50.93 |  |
| 98 | STABELL L. - STABELL T. | 5,263.98 | 50.62 |  |
| 99 | BIGDELI F. - POLET G. | 5,261.89 | 50.60 |  |
| 100 | ROMANOVSKA M. - GOLDBERG C. | 5,261.56 | 50.59 |  |
| 101 | CHUMAK Y. - ROVYSHYN O. | 5,261.23 | 50.59 |  |
| 102 | HOYLAND J. - HOYLAND S. | 5,258.88 | 50.57 |  |
| 103 | EIDE L. - ELLINGSEN K. | 5,257.43 | 50.55 |  |
| 104 | HOMONNAY G. - WINKLER G. | 5,253.65 | 50.52 |  |
| 105 | ELIASSEN E. - SOOILAND T. | 5,245.62 | 50.44 |  |
| 106 | COLDEA I. - ROTARU I. | 5,245.24 | 50.44 |  |
| 107 | BERTHEAU P. - WHITTAKER W. | 5,244.61 | 50.43 |  |
| 108 | MAGRI F. - BARTOLOTTIP. | 5,242.79 | 50.41 |  |
| 109 | SAELENSMINDE E. - HAUGE R. | 5,226.92 | 50.26 |  |
| 110 | EIDE L. - ANDREASEN A. | 5,219.96 | 50.19 |  |
| 111 | SMILGAJS A. - BENDIKS J. | 5,219.47 | 50.19 |  |
| 112 | NORDVIK V. - IVERSEN T. | 5,219.10 | 50.18 |  |
| 113 | WEINSTEIN S. - HUMPHREYS G. | 5,217.93 | 50.17 |  |


| \#*** | EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE CHAMP | IPS |  | Tromsø, Norway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PAIR | MP | \% |  |
| 114 | AA T. - LIVGARD A. 5,216.60 | 50.16 |  |  |
| 115 | ELIASSEN R. - ELIASSEN S. | 5,199.79 | 50.00 |  |
| 116 | HELGESEN L. - GJOES T. | 5,184.82 | 49.85 |  |
| 117 | MARTENS K. - FILIPOWICZ D. | 5,167.36 | 49.69 |  |
| 118 | KVANGRAVEN N. - LIE T. | 5,166.66 | 49.68 |  |
| 119 | SIVERTSEN A. - KARLSEN S. | 5,152.97 | 49.55 |  |
| 120 | TATLICIOGLU S. - KAYTAZ B. | 5,134.28 | 49.37 |  |
| 121 | DOBROWOLSKI M. - MADUZIA A. | 5,126.49 | 49.29 |  |
| 122 | CARCASSONNE-LABAERE V. - LABAERE A. | 5,113.13 | 49.16 |  |
| 123 | HALLBERG G. - BLACK A. | 5,112.36 | 49.16 |  |
| 124 | AYAZ I. - PEYRET H. | 5,096.75 | 49.01 |  |
| 125 | DINKIN S. - TUNCOK C. | 5,090.63 | 48.95 |  |
| 126 | DALECKI M. - MODRZEJEWSKI M. | 4,878.22 | 48.86 |  |
| 127 | JENSEN B. - JOHANSEN R. | 5,080.90 | 48.85 |  |
| 128 | KOWALSKI D. - BLACH M. | 5,070.58 | 48.76 |  |
| 129 | ENGEBRETSEN G. - LOEN L. | 5,062.08 | 48.67 |  |
| 130 | HANLON T. - CARROLL J. | 4,850.80 | 48.59 |  |
| 131 | GOWER C. - APTEKER A. | 5,049.09 | 48.55 |  |
| 132 | OHREN J. - BRENTEBRAATEN F. | 5,047.59 | 48.53 |  |
| 133 | BAUMANN K. - EILERAAS S. | 4,844.96 | 48.53 |  |
| 134 | JOHANSEN L. - REKSTAD G. | 4,842.37 | 48.50 |  |
| 135 | SANDSMARK T. - ROGNSAA B. | 5,040.03 | 48.46 |  |
| 136 | POLAK T. - VAN OVERBEEKE T. | 5,036.63 | 48.43 |  |
| 137 | ARONOV V. - DAMIANOVA D. | 5,021.21 | 48.28 |  |
| 138 | ERNSTSEN S. - HAETTA L. | 5,020.85 | 48.28 |  |
| 139 | HELNESS T. - HELNESS F. | 5,019.85 | 48.27 |  |
| 140 | EIDE H. - BAKKE C. | 5,018.76 | 48.26 |  |
| 141 | STERN L. - URMAN L. | 5,002.31 | 48.10 |  |
| 142 | JACOB T. - MACE B. | 5,001.73 | 48.09 |  |
| 143 | AUKEN S. - WELLAND R. | 4,988.83 | 47.97 |  |
| 144 | HELGESEN K. - ANFINSEN E. | 4,987.56 | 47.96 |  |
| 145 | McLEISH P. - McLEISH D. | 4,968.02 | 47.77 |  |
| 146 | COPE S. - PASKE T. | 4,961.06 | 47.70 |  |
| 147 | EIDE M. - LOMSDALEN O. | 4,960.37 | 47.70 |  |
| 148 | BOGACH A. - RAPOPORT V. | 4,957.11 | 47.66 |  |
| 149 | DESSAIN T. - KABAN T. | 4,951.15 | 47.61 |  |
| 150 | WINKEL M. - VAN HOOIJDONK M. | 4,949.73 | 47.59 |  |
| 151 | NITTER T. - LUTRO J. | 4,941.85 | 47.52 |  |
| 152 | FREDIN P. - SHERMAN G. | 4,931.49 | 47.42 |  |
| 153 | JENSAAS J. - INGEBRIGTSEN T. | 4,925.42 | 47.36 |  |
| 154 | VAN DER TOORN C. - KAPTEIN M. | 4,719.56 | 47.27 |  |
| 155 | TISLEVOLL G. - BREKKE V. | 4,900.51 | 47.12 |  |
| 156 | SIELICKI T. - TUCZYNSKI P. | 4,882.29 | 46.95 |  |
| 157 | KVAMSDAL L. - HOFF A. | 4,857.20 | 46.70 |  |
| 158 | DAHL S. - FUGLEM G. | 4,856.75 | 46.70 |  |
| 159 | LASSERRE D. - BRUNET K. | 4,853.35 | 46.67 |  |
| 160 | SVARE A. - DYRKORN O. | 4,843.09 | 46.57 |  |
| 161 | ARNTSEN R. - SOTTAR R. | 4,837.12 | 46.51 |  |
| 162 | BJERKSET S. - LANGEN A. | 4,835.45 | 46.49 |  |
| 163 | VALLESTAD A. - PEDERSEN B. | 4,829.96 | 46.44 |  |
| 164 | SCHIPPERS-BOSKLOPPER E. - STIENEN R. | 4,806.41 | 46.22 |  |
| 165 | HORNISCHER G. - WEINBERGER S. | 4,762.54 | 45.79 |  |
| 166 | JANSONS U. - GERMANIS A. | 4,761.90 | 45.79 |  |
| 167 | HAUGE B. - HAUGE T. | 4,754.19 | 45.71 |  |
| 168 | KRISTIANSEN T. - FAGERDAL R. | 4,741.73 | 45.59 |  |
| 169 | MIDJO R. - UKKELBERG O. | 4,737.60 | 45.55 |  |
| 170 | SAUR O. - AABYE J. | 4,714.41 | 45.33 |  |
| 171 | SILVERSTEIN A. - ROSENTHAL A. | 4,705.58 | 45.25 |  |
| 172 | JENSEN P. - STRAUMSNES T. | 4,701.23 | 45.20 |  |
| 173 | ROMANOWSKI J. - ROZWADOWSKI W. | 4,678.15 | 44.98 |  |


| =***v | h EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE CH | HIPS |  | Tromsø, Norway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PAIR | MP | \% |  |
| 174 | LEHN R. - ELDE T. | 4,673.69 | 44.94 |  |
| 175 | BULL S. - BULL I. | 4,667.85 | 44.88 |  |
| 176 | KARLSEN L. - JAKOBSEN G. | 4,657.29 | 44.78 |  |
| 177 | OLSEN R. - BJORKAN I. | 4,641.90 | 44.63 |  |
| 178 | COUNIL J. - ROUSSEL N. | 4,640.15 | 44.62 |  |
| 179 | PARVULESCU M. - BUJENITA D. | 4,629.17 | 44.51 |  |
| 180 | SAYILKAN T. - KIZILOK O. | 4,623.25 | 44.45 |  |
| 181 | OLSEN R. - OLSEN S. | 4,608.44 | 44.31 |  |
| 182 | NYMOEN A. - DALING T. | 4,602.34 | 44.25 |  |
| 183 | MUSAOGLU A. - MINASYAN A. | 4,601.84 | 44.25 |  |
| 184 | TER LAARE M. - MOLLE L. | 4,588.02 | 44.12 |  |
| 185 | RYNNING E. - BREKKA G. | 4,586.03 | 44.10 |  |
| 186 | BEYER M. - BAARDSEN T. | 4,401.73 | 44.09 |  |
| 187 | NORUM J. - SIVERTSEN S. | 4,576.79 | 44.01 |  |
| 188 | BUIJS P. - DE HULLU H. | 4,554.84 | 43.80 |  |
| 189 | KALTENBORN J. - BRUUSGAARD R. | 4,550.95 | 43.76 |  |
| 190 | MALUISH A. - MILL A. | 4,517.54 | 43.44 |  |
| 191 | CHMURSKI B. - CHALUPEC I. | 4,513.94 | 43.40 |  |
| 192 | MORTENSEN M. - VAAGE J. | 4,503.05 | 43.30 |  |
| 193 | ELIASSEN N. - CHRISTENSEN P. | 4,502.81 | 43.30 |  |
| 194 | VARDAR R. - KAYA M. | 4,459.56 | 42.88 |  |
| 195 | EIDE E. - ROREN T. | 4,458.51 | 42.87 |  |
| 196 | LARSEN H. - JOHANSSON S. | 4,425.96 | 42.56 |  |
| 197 | ZUBOV V. - FILIPPOV V. | 4,392.87 | 42.24 |  |
| 198 | JENSEN R. - ALMLI S. | 4,377.98 | 42.10 |  |
| 199 | VESTERLUND A. - BRA ENDVANG M. | 4,359.95 | 41.92 |  |
| 200 | SKALMERAAS T. - SKALMERAS P. | 4,322.52 | 41.56 |  |
| 201 | SVENDSEN O. - GILLIS S. | 4,303.09 | 41.38 |  |
| 202 | OLSEN M. - OEDEGAARDEN H. | 4,126.13 | 41.33 |  |
| 203 | KIRCHHOFF L. - SECHRIEST S. | 4,252.15 | 40.89 |  |
| 204 | NOKLEBY J. - HEGBOM E. | 4,188.53 | 40.27 |  |
| 205 | OLSEN M. - LYNGBOE T. | 4,105.16 | 39.47 |  |
| 206 | SANDVIK C. - BREMNES H. | 4,069.85 | 39.13 |  |
| 207 | GILL P. - DAWSON J. | 4,020.13 | 38.66 |  |
| 208 | MARRO C. - MARRO V. | 3,953.17 | 38.01 |  |
| 209 | WEIE D. - OLSEN S. | 3,824.81 | 36.78 |  |
| 210 | KRISTOFFERSEN L. - STENBRO E. | 3,341.20 | 32.13 |  |

## DUPLIMATE

The Duplimates used to duplicate the championship boards in Tromso are sold out but you can pre-order a Duplimate to be used at the World Championships later on this year on the same terms, i.e. EUR 1999. Contact Jannerstens at the bridge stall in the bridge plaza, or drop a line to per@jannersten.com.


| 二***V | th EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE C | HIPS | Tromsø, Norway |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMIEN PAIRS |  |  |  |  |
| RANKING AFTER ROUND 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | PAIR | MP | \% |  |
| 1 | ZMUDA J. - DUFRAT K. | 1,293.80 | 61.03 |  |
| 2 | YAN R. - LI Y. | 1,244.57 | 58.71 |  |
| 3 | SARNIAK A. - BALDYSZ C. | 1,194.66 | 58.68 |  |
| 4 | PILIPOVIC M. - SVER N. | 1,230.36 | 58.04 |  |
| 5 | STOEN T. - BJOERKAN H. | 1,172.14 | 57.57 |  |
| 6 | WANG H. - ZHANG Y. | 1,217.78 | 57.44 |  |
| 7 | LU Y. - LIU Y. | 1,156.20 | 56.79 |  |
| 8 | GRUDE L. - OIGARDEN B. | 1,201.62 | 56.68 |  |
| 9 | THORESEN S. - HESKJE T. | 1,134.64 | 55.84 |  |
| 10 | STRANDBERG Y. - STRANDBERG G. | 1,178.51 | 55.59 |  |
| 11 | VLIEGENTHART M. - HENGEVELD R. | 1,175.47 | 55.45 |  |
| 12 | RUBACH B. - OPSAL K. | 1,123.01 | 55.16 |  |
| 13 | GODFREY L. - COHEN P. | 1,116.34 | 54.83 |  |
| 14 | CAMERON G. - VOS V. | 1,156.94 | 54.57 |  |
| 15 | NILSEN L. - GRUDE M. | 1,106.56 | 54.46 |  |
| 16 | BARENDREGT R. - CHEDIAK V. | 1,087.75 | 53.43 |  |
| 17 | GLADIATOR A. - WEBER E. | 1,085.18 | 53.30 |  |
| 18 | BROCK S. - SANDFORD D. | 1,083.75 | 53.23 |  |
| 19 | BLAAGESTAD L. - SIVERTSVIK R. | 1,076.37 | 52.87 |  |
| 20 | BANASZKIEWICZ E. - FOSSUM A. | 1,055.36 | 51.83 |  |
| 21 | BLOOM V. - NESTORIDIS A. | 1,046.05 | 51.38 |  |
| 22 | SJOBERG E. - RIMSTEDT S. | 1,080.36 | 50.96 |  |
| 23 | HEINRICHS G. - WENNING K. | 1,071.45 | 50.54 |  |
| 24 | MIRKOVIC A. - GLAERUM L. | 1,062.71 | 50.13 |  |
| 25 | KLINGEN M. - HAUGE T. | 1,050.73 | 49.56 |  |
| 26 | ROMASHOVA V. - DOBRUSHINA E. | 1,004.34 | 49.33 |  |
| 27 | BROGELAND T. - SVENDSEN T. | 998.64 | 49.05 |  |
| 28 | JOYCE E. - FITZGERALD J. | 994.54 | 48.85 |  |
| 29 | LESLIE P. - PUNCH S. | 1,035.13 | 48.83 |  |
| 30 | EGGELING M. - BRINCK K. | 982.96 | 48.28 |  |
| 31 | DAHL V. - BECKSTROM E. | 978.97 | 48.08 |  |
| 32 | ROSI T. - BACOCCOLI A. | 984.21 | 46.43 |  |
| 33 | DUTU A. - TANASE R. | 966.20 | 45.58 |  |
| 34 | SEALE C. - BASA M. | 964.68 | 45.50 |  |
| 35 | MOEN V. - FOSSAN B. | 902.37 | 44.32 |  |
| 36 | RASMUSSEN S. - ARALT L. | 925.84 | 43.67 |  |
| 37 | PHELAN L. - MITCHELL L. | 870.90 | 42.86 |  |
| 38 | HAUGEN A. - FURUNES T. | 864.83 | 42.48 |  |
| 39 | SNEVE S. - HAALAND A. | 851.92 | 41.84 |  |
| 40 | WENZELL R. - EIDSMO B. | 835.12 | 41.10 |  |
| 41 | KOFOED R. - AUNE E. | 802.89 | 39.43 |  |
| 42 | DAHL A. - TROEN B. | 829.40 | 39.12 |  |
| 43 | ELSTAD S. - ANDERSEN M. | 827.73 | 39.04 |  |
| 44 | SERANGELI F. - DELLARMI C. | 789.48 | 38.85 |  |
| 45 | ANDERSEN W. - GOKCE G. | 798.20 | 37.65 |  |



NEW VIDEOS AVAILABLE


Interview with Tommy Sandsmark

In \& Out

## OPEN TEAMS KNOCKOUT



## WOMEN TEAMS KNOCKOUT

| POLAND | 47 |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAMERON | 91 |


| CAMERON |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| BAKER | 14 |

## SENIOR TEAMS KNOCKOUT

TAKE: L. Trapp, K. Aldeborg, K. Karlsson, G. Elmroth SORVOLL: J. Sorvoll, E. Bolviken, S. Koch, T. Clemetsen NOTEROY: K. Bertheau, T. Heskje, S. Thoresen, J. Larsson SAGG: P. B. Sundseth, A. Lorentzen, K. Kjernsrod, T. Walle, S. Bjertnes
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